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## The polarization property of self-maps

## Definition

An algebraic dynamical system will be given by a projective, normal, geometrically integral algebraic variety $X$ defined over a field $K$ and a finite, surjective self-map $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X$, also defined over $K$.

Suppose that $E$ is a nonzero $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$ and for some real number $\alpha>1$, we have the linear equivalence $\varphi^{*} E \sim \alpha E$. A situation like this will be called a polarized dynamical system $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ on $X$.

## Example

A map $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^{d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{d}$, given by homogeneous polynomials of degree $\alpha>1$ on the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{d}$, admits a polarization $\left(\mathbb{P}^{d}, \varphi, H, \alpha\right)$, where $H$ is a hyperplane section.

## Intersection number and the polarization property

Let $X$ be of dimension $d$. We have on $X$ a multilinear intersection product $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{d}\right)$, that depends only on the linear equivalence class of the $D_{i}$. From the polarization property $\varphi^{*} E \sim \alpha E$ and the multilinearity of the intersection number, we obtain

$$
\alpha^{d}\left(E^{d}\right)=\left((\alpha E)^{d}\right)=\left(\left(\varphi^{*} E\right)^{d}\right)=\operatorname{deg}(\varphi)\left(E^{d}\right)
$$

As a consequence we have the following properties:
(1) If the polarizing divisor $E$ is ample, then $\operatorname{deg}(\varphi)=\alpha^{d}$.
(2) If $\operatorname{deg}(\varphi) \neq \alpha^{d}$, then the self-intersection $\left(E^{d}\right)=0$.

In the particular case of automorphisms:

## Fact:

If $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X$ is an automorphism on $X$ and $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ is a polarized dynamical system, then the self-intersection $\left(E^{d}\right)=0$.

## The family $S_{a, b}$ or $K 3$ surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$

Consider the family of $K 3$ surfaces $S_{a, b} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ studied by Silverman. The family $S_{a, b}$ is determined by equations:

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} a_{i, j} x_{i} y_{j}=\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{3} b_{i, j, k, l} x_{i} x_{k} y_{j} y_{l}=0
$$

The projections $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ represent double coverings of $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{2}$ and determine rational maps $\sigma_{x}$ and $\sigma_{y}$ in each of the members of the family. Suppose that $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}$ are morphisms and we have denoted the pull-back divisors by $D_{n}^{x}=p_{x}^{*}\left\{x_{n}=0\right\}$ and $D_{m}^{y}=p_{y}^{*}\left\{y_{m}=0\right\}$ respectively. We can determine the eigenvalues of $\left(\sigma_{y} \circ \sigma_{x}\right)^{ \pm 1 *}$ in the subspace of generated by $D_{n}^{x}$ and $D_{m}^{y}$. Indeed for $\varphi=\sigma_{y} \circ \sigma_{x}$ and $\beta=2+\sqrt{3}$, the real divisors

$$
E^{+}=E_{m n}^{+}=\beta D_{x}^{n}-D_{y}^{m}, \quad E^{-}=E_{m n}^{-}=-D_{x}^{n}+\beta D_{y}^{m}
$$

will satisfy the two identities

$$
\varphi^{*} E^{+} \sim \beta^{2} E^{+} \text {and }\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{*} E^{-} \sim \beta^{2} E^{-}
$$

## The family $S_{c}$ of $K 3$ surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$

Consider a smooth projective variety $S=S_{c}$ defined by a $(2,2,2)$ form in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. For $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, the surface $S$ can be viewed as zero locus of the polynomial

$$
F(x, y, z)=\sum_{i_{1}+j_{l}=2, l=0,1,2} c_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}} x_{0}^{i_{1}} x_{1}^{j_{1}} y_{0}^{i_{2}} y_{1}^{j_{2}} z_{0}^{i_{3}} z_{1}^{j_{3}}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}}$ belong to a field $K$. We define rational maps $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2,3}: S \longrightarrow S, \sigma_{2}=\sigma_{1,3}: S \longrightarrow S$ and $\sigma_{3}=\sigma_{1,2}: S \longrightarrow S$. For generic members of the family, the maps $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ are well defined automorphisms of the surface $S$. We call this case, the generic case, and work from now on with generic surfaces of the family $S$. Let $\left\{t_{0}\right\}$ be a point in $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ and $p^{i}: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ the projection onto the $i$-th component. Let $D_{i}$, for $i=1,2,3$, be the ample divisor $D_{i}=\left(p^{i}\right)^{*}\left[t_{0}\right]$ in $S$

## Eigenvalues and eigenvectors for $\sigma_{i, j, k}^{*}: S_{c} \longrightarrow S_{c}$

For generic surfaces in $S_{c}$, the Picard number is three and we will work with the basis $\mathcal{D}=\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}\right\}$ of $\operatorname{Car}(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$. We put $\beta=\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, $a=\frac{-3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $b=\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, and name the divisors

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
E_{1}=[1, a, b]_{\mathcal{D}} & E_{5}=[b, 1, a]_{\mathcal{D}} & E_{3}=[a, b, 1]_{\mathcal{D}} \\
E_{4}=[1, b, a]_{\mathcal{D}} & E_{2}=[a, 1, b]_{\mathcal{D}} & E_{6}=[b, a, 1]_{\mathcal{D}}
\end{array}
$$

The eigenvectors associated to the different eigenvalues $\lambda$ for the maps $\sigma_{i, j, k}^{*}=\left(\sigma_{i} \circ \sigma_{j} \circ \sigma_{k}\right)^{*}=\sigma_{k}^{*} \circ \sigma_{j}^{*} \circ \sigma_{i}^{*}$ can be computed as:

| Morphism | $\lambda=\beta^{3}$ | $\lambda=\beta^{-3}$ | $\lambda=-1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{3,2,1}^{*}=\sigma_{1}^{*} \circ \sigma_{2}^{*} \circ \sigma_{3}^{*}$ | $E_{3}=[a, b, 1]$ | $E_{4}=[1, b, a]$ | $[1,-3,1]$ |
| $\sigma_{1,3,2}^{*}=\sigma_{2}^{*} \circ \sigma_{3}^{*} \circ \sigma_{1}^{*}$ | $E_{1}=[1, a, b]$ | $E_{2}=[a, 1, b]$ | $[1,1,-3]$ |
| $\sigma_{2,1,3}^{*}=\sigma_{3}^{*} \circ \sigma_{1}^{*} \circ \sigma_{2}^{*}$ | $E_{5}=[b, 1, a]$ | $E_{6}=[b, a, 1]$ | $[-3,1,1]$ |
| $\sigma_{3,1,2}^{*}=\sigma_{2}^{*} \circ \sigma_{1}^{*} \circ \sigma_{3}^{*}$ | $E_{6}=[b, a, 1]$ | $E_{5}=[b, 1, a]$ | $[-3,1,1]$ |
| $\sigma_{2,3,1}^{*}=\sigma_{1}^{*} \circ \sigma_{3}^{*} \circ \sigma_{2}^{*}$ | $E_{2}=[a, 1, b]$ | $E_{1}=[1, a, b]$ | $[1,1,-3]$ |
| $\sigma_{1,2,3}^{*}=\sigma_{3}^{*} \circ \sigma_{2}^{*} \circ \sigma_{1}^{*}$ | $E_{4}=[1, b, a]$ | $E_{3}=[a, b, 1]$ | $[1,-3,1]$ |

## Pairs of inverse maps in the family $S_{c}$

We have three pairs of polarized dynamical systems on $S$ given by a map $\varphi: S \longrightarrow S$ and its inverse $\varphi^{-1}: S \longrightarrow S$, namely the pairs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1} & =\left[\left(S, \sigma_{3,2,1}, E_{3}, \beta^{3}\right),\left(S, \sigma_{1,2,3}, E_{4}, \beta^{3}\right)\right], \\
\tau_{2} & =\left[\left(S, \sigma_{1,3,2}, E_{1}, \beta^{3}\right),\left(S, \sigma_{2,3,1}, E_{2}, \beta^{3}\right)\right], \\
\tau_{3} & =\left[\left(S, \sigma_{2,1,3}, E_{5}, \beta^{3}\right),\left(S, \sigma_{3,1,2}, E_{6}, \beta^{3}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can check that the divisors $E_{3}+E_{4}, E_{1}+E_{2}$ and $E_{5}+E_{6}$ are ample real divisors divisors in $\operatorname{Car}(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Authors like Wang, Baragar and Billard worked out the action of the maps $\sigma_{i}^{*}$ on $\operatorname{Car}(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$, as well as the eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Inspired by the work of Silverman in the family $S_{a, b}$, the pair of maps $\tau_{2}$ was work out in full details by Billard.

## Hyperbolic polarizations and pairs of inverse maps

## Definition

A polarized dynamics $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ defined over $K$ on $X$ will be called hyperbolic polarized if there exist a real divisor $0 \neq E^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Car}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\varphi^{*} E^{\prime} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} E^{\prime}$. Furthermore, if $E+E^{\prime}$ is ample, we will say that the algebraic dynamical system $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ is ample hyperbolic polarized.

## Remark

Let $X$ be a normal projective surface defined over a field $K$ and $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ a polarized dynamical system associated to an automorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ over $K$. The system $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ is hyperbolic polarized with divisor $E^{\prime}$ if and only if we can find a pair of polarized dynamical systems $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ and $\left(X, \varphi^{-1}, E^{\prime}, \alpha\right)$ associated to the map $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X$ and its inverse $\varphi^{-1}: X \longrightarrow X$. Again in this situation we will say that $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ is ample hyperbolic polarized if $E+E^{\prime}$ is ample.

## Properties of hyperbolic polarizations

## Proposition

Let $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ be polarized system on the smooth surface $X$ over the field $K$. Suppose that $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ is ample hyperbolic polarized. Then, for every nonzero effective divisor $0 \neq D \in \operatorname{Eff}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have $(E, D)>0$.

## Proposition

Let $X$ be a smooth projective surface and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ such that we have a hyperbolic polarization given by two polarized dynamical systems $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ and $\left(X, \varphi^{-1}, E^{\prime}, \alpha\right)$, with $E+E^{\prime}$ is ample. Then, for every real nonzero effective divisor $D$, the intersection numbers $(E, D)$ and $\left(E^{\prime}, D\right)$ are both positive. In particular, the divisors $E, E^{\prime}$ can not be $\mathbb{R}$-linearly equivalent to effective divisors.

## Polarized dynamics conditions the geometry of $X$

## Theorem

(Fakhrudin for projective, Zhang for compact Käler manifolds) Let $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ be a polarized dynamical system on $X$ with $E$ ample. Then the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X)$ of $X$ is $\kappa(X) \leq 0$.

As a corollary of our intersection results, we get:

## Corollary

Let $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ be ample hyperbolic polarized system on the smooth surface $X$ over the field $K$ associate to an étale $\operatorname{map} \varphi: X \longrightarrow X$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(\varphi) \neq \alpha$. Let us denote by $K_{X}$ the canonical divisor of $X$. Then, for any real number $m$, the divisor $m K_{X}$ is either zero or not effective on $X$. In particular, the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) \leq 0$.

## Examples of hyperbolic polarizations

## Example

For the family $S_{a, b}$ of Wehler K3 surfaces, we have ample hyperbolic polarizations associated to the pair of polarized dynamical systems $\left(S_{a, b}, \varphi^{+}, E^{+}, \beta^{2}\right)$ and $\left(S_{a, b}, \varphi^{-}, E^{-}, \beta^{2}\right)$, for $\beta=2+\sqrt{3}$. We can check that $E^{+}+E^{-}=(1+\sqrt{3})\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)$ is ample.

## Example

Let $S_{c}$ be the family of K 3 surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. For $\beta=\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, the pairs $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{3}$ of inverse maps, provide ample hyperbolic polarizations in $S_{c}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1} & =\left[\left(S, \sigma_{3,2,1}, E_{3}, \beta^{3}\right),\left(S, \sigma_{1,2,3}, E_{4}, \beta^{3}\right)\right], \\
\tau_{2} & =\left[\left(S, \sigma_{1,3,2}, E_{1}, \beta^{3}\right),\left(S, \sigma_{2,3,1}, E_{2}, \beta^{3}\right)\right], \\
\tau_{3} & =\left[\left(S, \sigma_{2,1,3}, E_{5}, \beta^{3}\right),\left(S, \sigma_{3,1,2}, E_{6}, \beta^{3}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Adelic metrized divisors and the Dirichlet property

We are going to work with a polarized dynamical system $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ defined over a number field $K$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ its ring of integers.

Consider analytic spaces $\pi_{v}: X_{v}^{a n} \longrightarrow X$ associated to $X$ at each place $v$ of $K$ and metrics on the analytifications $\mathcal{L}_{v}=\pi_{v}^{*} \mathcal{O}(E)$ for each place $v$ of $K$. For a real divisor $E$ polarizing a dynamical system $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X$, there exist a canonical way to put a metric $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi, v}$, associated to the map $\varphi$, on the analytification $\mathcal{L}_{v}=\pi_{v}^{*} \mathcal{L}$ of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}(E)$.

## Definition

A metric on a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is a collection of metrics $\|\cdot\|=\left(\|\cdot\|_{v}\right)_{v}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{v}$ is a metric on $\mathcal{L}_{v}=\pi_{v}^{*} \mathcal{L}$ for every place $v$ of $K$. A metric will be called quasi-algebraic or adelic if it is induced (algebraic) by the same model for almost finite places $v$ of $K$.

## Algebraic metrics: metrics induced by a model

Let $v$ be a finite place. For proper varieties we have a reduction map red $_{v}: X_{v}^{a n} \longrightarrow X_{v}=X \otimes_{K} K_{v}$, from the analytic space $X_{v}^{\text {an }}$ onto the special fibre.

## Definition

Suppose that $K^{0}$ is a discrete valuation ring and put $S=\operatorname{Spec}\left(K^{0}\right)$. Let $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, e)$ be a proper flat model of $\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{e}\right)$ over $S=\operatorname{Spec}\left(K^{0}\right)$. Let $s$ be a local section of $\mathcal{L}^{a n}$ defined at a point $P \in X^{a n}$. Let $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{X}$ be a trivializing open neighborhood of the reduction $\operatorname{red}(P)$ and $\sigma$ a generator of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \mid \tilde{U}$. Let $U=\tilde{U} \cap X$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{U^{a n}}$ such that $s^{\otimes e}=\lambda \sigma$ on $U^{a n}$. Then, the metric $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, e}$ induced by the proper model $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, e)$ on $\mathcal{L}^{a n}$ is given by

$$
\|s(P)\|_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, e}=|\lambda(P)|^{1 / e}
$$

The metrics obtained in this way are independent of the choice of $\tilde{U}$ and $\sigma$ and are called algebraic metrics on $\mathcal{L}$.

## Canonical metric and compactified divisors

The metrized divisor $\widehat{(f)}$ associated to $f \in \operatorname{Rat}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}^{*}$ has the absolute value
 associated an adelic metric $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}=\left(\|\cdot\|_{\varphi, v}\right)_{v}$ to a polarized dynamical system.

## Definition

Let $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ be a polarized dynamical system on $X$ defined over $K$ and let $\|$.$\| be a metric on E$. We have $\varphi^{*} E=\alpha E+(f)$ for some $f \in \operatorname{Rat}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}^{*}$ and, for every place $v$, a continuous function $\lambda_{v,(E,\|.\|)}: X_{v}^{a n} \longrightarrow K_{v}$ such that

$$
\varphi^{*}\|\cdot\|_{v}=|f|_{v}\|\cdot\|_{v}^{\alpha} \lambda_{v,(E,\|\cdot\|)} .
$$

The function $\lambda_{v,(E,\|\cdot\| \varphi)} \equiv 1$, for almost all places. The canonical metric associated to the map $\varphi$ is the unique metric $\|.\|_{\varphi}$ on $E$ satisfying that $\lambda_{v,\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}\right)} \equiv 1$ for all places $v$. In this sense we have

$$
\varphi^{*}\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}\right)=\alpha\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}\right)+\widehat{(f)}
$$

## Compactified divisors and the Dirichlet property

## Definition

The metric $\left(\|\cdot\|_{\varphi, v}\right)$ is called the canonical metric on $E$ associated to the map $\varphi$. The adelic metrized divisor $\bar{E}=\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{\varphi, v}\right)$ is called the canonical compactification of $E$.

In the work of Moriwaki and Chen, canonical compactifications are studied in relation to a higher dimensional analogue of Dirichlet unit's theorem. Let us extend our notion of effective to metrized divisors $\bar{D}$.

## Definition

The arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $\bar{D}$ is effective ( $\bar{D} \succeq 0$ ) if $D$ is effective and the canonical section $s_{D}$ satisfies $\left\|s_{D}\right\|_{v_{,} \text {sup }} \leq 1$ for all places $v \in \mathcal{M}_{K}$. We say that the adelic metrized $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $\bar{D}$ satisfies the Dirichlet property if there exist an $\mathbb{R}$-rational function $f \in \operatorname{Rat}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}^{*}$ such that $\bar{D}+\widehat{(f)} \succeq 0$.

## The classical Dirichlet property on $\operatorname{Spec}(K)$

## Proposition

(Dirichlet's unit theorem in dimension zero) Let $X=\operatorname{Spec}(K)$ and let $\bar{D}=\left(0,\left(\xi_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma}\right)$ be a real metrized divisor on $X$, the following two are equivalent:
(1) The degree $\widehat{\operatorname{deg}}(\bar{D})=\sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon_{\sigma} \xi_{\sigma} \geq 0$.
(2) $\bar{D}$ has the Dirichlet property.

## Corollary

(Classical Dirichlet's unit theorem) Let $\Phi$ a complete set of places at infinity of $K$ without conjugate pairs. Let $\xi=\left(\xi_{\sigma}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{|\Phi|}$ such that $\sum_{\sigma \in \Phi} \varepsilon_{\sigma} \xi_{\sigma}=0$, where $\varepsilon_{\sigma}=1$ for every real embedding $\sigma: K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\varepsilon_{\sigma}=2$ otherwise. Then there exist units $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{s} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}$ such that $\xi_{\sigma}=a_{1} \log \left|u_{1}\right|_{\sigma}+\cdots+a_{s} \log \left|u_{s}\right|_{\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in \Phi$.

## Another two cases when we have the Dirichlet property

## Definition

Let $X$ be a projective normal variety over $K$ and $\bar{D}$ an adelic metrized $\mathbb{R}$-metrized divisor on $X$. The divisor $\bar{D}$ is pseudo-effective if $\bar{D}+\bar{A}$ is big for every big divisor $\bar{A}$.

If $\bar{D}$ has the Dirichlet property, then it is pseudo-effective. The converse, on the other hand, does not always holds.

## Example

(Moriwaki, 2011) If the arithmetic divisor $\bar{D}$ is pseudo-effective and $D_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is numerically trivial, the Dirichlet property holds for $\bar{D}$.

## Example

(Burgos Gil, Moriwaki, Phillippon, Sombra, 2012) If $X$ is a toric variety and $\bar{D}$ is an toric metrized $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, the Dirichlet property holds for $\bar{D}$.

## Polarizations and the Dirichlet property

Canonically compactified divisors gives examples and non-examples of metrized divisors with the Dirichlet property.

## Example

(Chen/Moriwaki negative answer in Abelian varieties) Let $A$ be an abelian variety and $E$ a symmetric ample divisor such that $[2]^{*} E=4 E+\operatorname{div}(f)$. For any place $\sigma$ at infinity, the set $\operatorname{Prep}([2])_{\sigma}$ of points with finite forward orbit, is dense in $A(\mathbb{C})_{\sigma}$. Therefore $\bar{E}$ does not have the Drichlet property.

## Example

(Chen/Moriwaki positive answer for polynomial maps) Whenever a polarized system $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ gives equality $\varphi^{*} E=\alpha D$ with $E$ effective, the Dirichlet property holds for $\bar{E}$. For example take a surjective polynomial $\operatorname{map} \varphi: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $\operatorname{deg}(\varphi)=\alpha>1$ and the hyperplane $H=\left\{P=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n} \mid x_{0}=0\right\}$. We have $\varphi^{*} H=\alpha H$ and the Dirichlet property holds for $\bar{H}$.

## Hyperbolic polarizations and the Dirichlet property

Ample hyperbolic polarizations will give examples of compactified divisors without the Dirichlet property:

## Proposition

Let $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ be an ample hyperbolic polarized system in the smooth surface $X$, with $\operatorname{deg}(\varphi) \neq \alpha^{2}$. Then, the canonical compactification $\bar{E}$ do not satisfy the Dirichlet property. Also, for a pair of polarized systems $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha),\left(X, \varphi^{-1}, E^{\prime}, \alpha\right)$ with $E+E^{\prime}$ ample, the canonically compactified divisors $\bar{E}$ and $\bar{E}^{\prime}$ do not satisfy the Dirichlet property.

## Corollary

In the family $S_{a, b}$, the compactified divisors $\bar{E}^{+}, \bar{E}^{-}$do not have the Dirichlet property. In the family $S_{c}$, the compactified divisors $\bar{E}_{i}$ do not have the Dirichlet property.

## Rational points on surfaces with hyperbolic polarizations

Let $X$ be a smooth projective surface over a field $K$ and $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ a polarized dynamical system on $X$. Let us assume furthermore that $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ is ample hyperbolic polarized.

## Definition

Let us define the orbit of a point $P \in X(K)$ under the action of $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X$ as

$$
\mathcal{A}(P)=\left\{\varphi^{n}(P) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} .
$$

## Proposition

If the orbit $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is infinite, then $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is Zariski dense in $X(K)$.
The above result was proved by Silverman for the family $S_{a, b}$ and by Billard for the family $S_{c}$ under the action of the system $\tau_{2}$.

## Polarized dynamical systems and canonical heights

Consider a polarized dynamics $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ over a number field $K$. Following Silverman (1993), we can define a canonical height function $\hat{h}_{\varphi}: X(\bar{K}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties:
(1) $\hat{h}_{\varphi}$ is a Weil height function associated to $E$.
(2) $\hat{h}_{\varphi}(\varphi(P))=\alpha \hat{h}_{\varphi}(P)$.

## Remark

If the orbit $\mathcal{A}(P)$ of a point $P$ is finite, the canonical height $\hat{h}_{\varphi}(P)=0$. Under some conditions we can prove the converse of this result.

## Assumption

Suppose that a Weil height $h^{\prime}=h_{E^{\prime}}$ for the divisor $E^{\prime}$ is bounded on the orbit $\mathcal{A}(P)$. This is, there exist $M=M(P)>0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\left|h^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{n}(P)\right)\right|<M .
$$

## Canonical height functions and rational points

## Proposition

Under our assumption, the ample hyperbolic polarized system $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ over the number field $K$ satisfies:

$$
\text { The orbit } \mathcal{A}(P) \text { of a point } P \text { is finite } \Longleftrightarrow \hat{h}_{\varphi}(P)=0
$$

## Proposition

Under the assumption, the canonical height $\hat{h}_{\varphi}$ associated to our ample hyperbolic polarized system $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ satisfies $\hat{h}_{\varphi} \geq 0$.

One way to satisfy our Assumption is to have an ample hyperbolic polarization $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ associated to an automorphism $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X$ and choose the canonical height $\hat{h}^{\prime}=\hat{h}_{\varphi^{-1}}$ associated $\left(X, \varphi^{-1}, E^{\prime}, \alpha\right)$.

## Rational points and heights for pairs of inverse maps

The following result was obtained by Silverman on $S_{a, b}$ and by Billard on the family $S_{c}$ :

## Corollary

Let $K$ be a number field and suppose and $X$ a smooth surface defined over $K$. Suppose that $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ is an ample hyperbolic polarization over $K$ associated to an automorphism $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X$ on $X$. Let us denote by $\hat{h}=\hat{h}_{\varphi}$ and $\hat{h}^{\prime}=\hat{h}_{\varphi^{-1}}$, the canonical heights associated to the dynamical systems $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$, and $\left(X, \varphi^{-1}, E^{\prime}, \alpha\right)$ respectively. For a rational point $P \in X(K)$, the following are equivalent:
(1) $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is finite.
(2) $\left(\hat{h}+\hat{h}^{\prime}\right)(P)=0$.
(3) $\hat{h}(P)=0$.
(4) $\hat{h}^{\prime}(P)=0$.

## Arithmetic results

Suppose that $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ is an ample hyperbolic polarization over $K$ associated to an automorphism $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X$ on $X$.

## Corollary

The set $\{P \in X(\bar{K}) \mid \mathcal{A}(P)$ is finite $\}$ is a set of bounded height. In particular, the set $\{P \in X(K) \mid \mathcal{A}(P)$ is finite $\}$ is a finite set.

## Definition

For an orbit $C=\mathcal{A}(P)$, let us define the height of the orbit $C$ as the number $h(\mathcal{C})=\sqrt{\hat{h}(P) \hat{h}^{\prime}(P)}$. The number $h(\mathcal{C})$ will measure the arithmetic complexity of the orbit $\mathcal{C}$.

## Proposition

(Silverman 93, Billard 97) If $h(\mathcal{C})>0$ and $B$ is sufficiently big, we have

$$
\#\left\{Q \in \mathcal{C} \mid \hat{h}(Q)+\hat{h}^{\prime}(Q)<B\right\}=\kappa(\mathcal{C}) \log _{\alpha}(B / h(\mathcal{C}))+O(1)
$$

## Open questions

So far, our examples of hyperbolic polarizations, involve automorphisms $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X$ and pairs of polarized systems $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha),\left(X, \varphi^{-1}, E^{\prime}, \alpha\right)$.

## Question

Can we find a hyperbolic polarization $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$, where $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X$ is not an automorphism? In case of a positive answer, can we find one such polarization satisfying our assumption on the height $h_{E^{\prime}}$ ?

## Question

Can we get results for the counting of rational points in orbits, when $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X$ is not an automorphism and we have an ample hyperbolic polarization $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ in $X$ ?

## Question

Can we find a hyperbolic polarization $(X, \varphi, E, \alpha)$ on a geometrically ruled surface $\pi: X \longrightarrow C$ ? Is the map $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ ?

# To the organizers for the great conference and school, thanks! 

