

Strong Frobenius structure, rigidity and hypergeometric equations

VARGAS-MONTOYA DANIEL

INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, LYON

Workshop on differential algebra and related topics
New York, United States
10-14 February, 2020

AIMS

This talk has two main aims:

- Show that there exists a family \mathcal{L} of differential operators such that for all L in \mathcal{L} , L has a strong Frobenius structure for almost prime number p .

AIMS

This talk has two main aims:

- Show that there exists a family \mathcal{L} of differential operators such that for all L in \mathcal{L} , L has a strong Frobenius structure for almost prime number p .
- Show the connection between the existence of a strong Frobenius structure for a prime number p and the algebraicity modulo p of the solutions of the corresponding operator.

MOTIVATION

There is a family \mathfrak{G} of G -functions, $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, such that for all $f \in \mathfrak{G}$ there exists \mathcal{S} an infinite set of prime numbers such that for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$:

MOTIVATION

There is a family \mathfrak{G} of G -functions, $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, such that for all $f \in \mathfrak{G}$ there exists \mathcal{S} an infinite set of prime numbers such that for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$:

$f \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$. We can then reduce f modulo p .

MOTIVATION

There is a family \mathfrak{G} of G -functions, $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, such that for all $f \in \mathfrak{G}$ there exists \mathcal{S} an infinite set of prime numbers such that for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$:

$f \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$. We can then reduce f modulo p .

MOTIVATION

There is a family \mathfrak{G} of G -functions, $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, such that for all $f \in \mathfrak{G}$ there exists \mathcal{S} an infinite set of prime numbers such that for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$:

$f \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$. We can then reduce f modulo p .

$$f|_p := \sum_{n \geq 0} (a_n \bmod p) t^n \in \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$$

is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$.

MOTIVATION

There is a family \mathfrak{G} of G -functions, $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, such that for all $f \in \mathfrak{G}$ there exists \mathcal{S} an infinit set of prime numbers such that for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$:

$f \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$. We can then reduce f modulo p .

$$f|_p := \sum_{n \geq 0} (a_n \pmod{p}) t^n \in \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$$

is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$.

In particular there is $a_0(t), \dots, a_c(t) \in \mathbb{F}_p(t)$ such that $f|_p$ is zero of

$$a_0(t)Y + a_1(t)Y^p + \dots + a_c(t)Y^{p^c} \quad (\text{Frobenius polynomial}).$$

Therefore the algebraicity degree of $f|_p$ is bounded by p^c

In 1984, Deligne shows that if $f(t) \in \mathfrak{D}$ then the above situation assure,

In 1984, Deligne shows that if $f(t) \in \mathfrak{D}$ then the above situation assure, where $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{d>0} \mathfrak{D}_d$ and \mathfrak{D}_d is the image of $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]^{alg} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, (the diagonalisation operator)

$$\Delta_d \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_d^{i_d} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} t^n.$$

In 1984, **Deligne** shows that if $f(t) \in \mathfrak{D}$ then the above situation assure, where $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{d>0} \mathfrak{D}_d$ and \mathfrak{D}_d is the image of $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]^{alg} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, (**the diagonalisation operator**)

$$\Delta_d \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_d^{i_d} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} t^n.$$

Deligne's Question: If $f \in \mathfrak{D}$, is there a constant $c > 0$ such that $\deg(f|_p) \leq p^c$, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$?

In 1984, **Deligne** shows that if $f(t) \in \mathfrak{D}$ then the above situation assure, where $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{d>0} \mathfrak{D}_d$ and \mathfrak{D}_d is the image of $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]^{alg} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, (**the diagonalisation operator**)

$$\Delta_d \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_d^{i_d} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} t^n.$$

Deligne's Question: If $f \in \mathfrak{D}$, is there a constant $c > 0$ such that $\deg(f|_p) \leq p^c$, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$?

For exemple the G -function

$$f_1(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{16^n} \binom{2n}{n}^2 t^n = {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 1, t)$$

is the **diagonal** of

$$\frac{2}{2 - t_1 - t_2} \cdot \frac{2}{2 - t_3 - t_4}.$$

In 1984, **Deligne** shows that if $f(t) \in \mathfrak{D}$ then the above situation assure, where $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{d>0} \mathfrak{D}_d$ and \mathfrak{D}_d is the image of $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]^{alg} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, (**the diagonalisation operator**)

$$\Delta_d \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_d^{i_d} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} t^n.$$

Deligne's Question: If $f \in \mathfrak{D}$, is there a constant $c > 0$ such that $\deg(f|_p) \leq p^c$, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$?

For exemple the G -function

$$f_1(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{16^n} \binom{2n}{n}^2 t^n = {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 1, t)$$

is the **diagonal** of

$$\frac{2}{2 - t_1 - t_2} \cdot \frac{2}{2 - t_3 - t_4}.$$

The **algebraicity degree** of $f_1|_p$ is bounded by p .

There are G -functions which do not belong to \mathcal{D} ,

There are G -functions which do not belong to \mathfrak{D} , for exemple

$$f_2(t) = {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 2/3, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(2/3)_k k!} \right) t^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]],$$

where $(x)_0 = 1$ and $(x)_n = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$.

There are G -functions which do not belong to \mathfrak{D} , for example

$$f_2(t) = {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 2/3, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(2/3)_k k!} \right) t^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]],$$

where $(x)_0 = 1$ and $(x)_n = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$.

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $f_2(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$.

There are G -functions which do not belong to \mathfrak{D} , for example

$$f_2(t) = {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 2/3, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(2/3)_k k!} \right) t^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]],$$

where $(x)_0 = 1$ and $(x)_n = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$.

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $f_2(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$. Is $f_2|_p$ algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$?

There are G -functions which do not belong to \mathfrak{D} , for exemple

$$f_2(t) = {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 2/3, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(2/3)_k k!} \right) t^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]],$$

where $(x)_0 = 1$ and $(x)_n = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$.

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $f_2(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$. Is $f_2|_p$ algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$?

The notion of **strong Frobenius structure** give us a general point of view about the following question:

FIELD OF ANALYTIC ELEMENTS

Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{Q}(t))$

FIELD OF ANALYTIC ELEMENTS

Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{Q}(t))$

Classic situation

FIELD OF ANALYTIC ELEMENTS

Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{Q}(t))$

Classic situation

We say that A and B are **globally equivalent** in $\mathbb{C}(t)$, if there exists $H \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}(t))$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H = AH - HB$$

We say that A and B are **$\mathbb{C}(t)$ -equivalents**.

FIELD OF ANALYTIC ELEMENTS

Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{Q}(t))$

Classic situation

We say that A and B are **globally equivalents** in $\mathbb{C}(t)$, if there exists $H \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C}(t))$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H = AH - HB$$

We say that A and B are **$\mathbb{C}(t)$ -equivalents**.

p-adic situation.

FIELD OF ANALYTIC ELEMENTS

Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{Q}(t))$

Classic situation

We say that A and B are **globally equivalent**s in $\mathbb{C}(t)$, if there exists $H \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}(t))$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H = AH - HB$$

We say that A and B are **$\mathbb{C}(t)$ -equivalent**s.

p -adic situation.

We say that A and B are **globally equivalent**s, if there is $H \in GL_n(E_p)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H = AH - HB$$

We say A and B are **E_p -equivalent**s.

FIELD OF ANALYTIC ELEMENTS

Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{Q}(t))$

Classic situation

We say that A and B are **globally equivalent**s in $\mathbb{C}(t)$, if there exists $H \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}(t))$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H = AH - HB$$

We say that A and B are $\mathbb{C}(t)$ -**equivalent**s.

Where E_p is the **field of analytic elements**, that is, the completion of $\mathbb{C}_p(t)$ for the Gauss norm.

p -adic situation.

We say that A and B are **globally equivalent**s, if there is $H \in GL_n(E_p)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H = AH - HB$$

We say A and B are E_p -**equivalent**s.

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let's set the differential operator

$$L := \frac{d}{dt^n} + a_1(t) \frac{d}{dt^{n-1}} + \cdots + a_{n-1}(t) \frac{d}{dt} + a_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[d/dt].$$

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let's set the differential operator

$$L := \frac{d}{dt^n} + a_1(t) \frac{d}{dt^{n-1}} + \cdots + a_{n-1}(t) \frac{d}{dt} + a_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[d/dt].$$

Companion matrix.

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let's set the differential operator

$$L := \frac{d}{dt^n} + a_1(t) \frac{d}{dt^{n-1}} + \cdots + a_{n-1}(t) \frac{d}{dt} + a_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[d/dt].$$

Companion matrix.

We consider the matrix A which is called the **companion matrix** of L .

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let's set the differential operator

$$L := \frac{d}{dt^n} + a_1(t) \frac{d}{dt^{n-1}} + \cdots + a_{n-1}(t) \frac{d}{dt} + a_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[d/dt].$$

Companion matrix.

We consider the matrix A which is called the **companion matrix** of L .

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -a_n(t) & -a_{n-1}(t) & -a_{n-2}(t) & \cdots & -a_2(t) & -a_1(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let's set the differential operator

$$L := \frac{d}{dt^n} + a_1(t) \frac{d}{dt^{n-1}} + \cdots + a_{n-1}(t) \frac{d}{dt} + a_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[d/dt].$$

Companion matrix.

We consider the matrix A which is called the **companion matrix** of L .

Strong Frobenius structure.

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let's set the differential operator

$$L := \frac{d}{dt^n} + a_1(t) \frac{d}{dt^{n-1}} + \cdots + a_{n-1}(t) \frac{d}{dt} + a_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[d/dt].$$

Companion matrix.

We consider the matrix A which is called the **companion matrix** of L .

Strong Frobenius structure.

L has a strong Frobenius structure for p , of **period** h , if there is an integer $h \geq 1$, such that A and $p^h t^{p^h-1} A(t^{p^h})$ are E_p -**equivalents**.

In other words, $\exists H \in Gl_n(E_p)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} H = AH - H(p^h t^{p^h-1} A(t^{p^h})).$$

FROBENIUS ACTION AND ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

Frobenius action: If L has a strong Frobenius structure for p of period h

FROBENIUS ACTION AND ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

Frobenius action: If L has a strong Frobenius structure for p of period h , and $h_{11}, \dots, h_{1n} \in E_p$ is the first row of H , then for every solution f of L , f belongs to a ring containing E_p , we have

$$h_{11}f(t^{p^h}) + \dots + h_{1n}f^{(n-1)}(t^{p^h})$$

is a solution of L .

FROBENIUS ACTION AND ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

Frobenius action: If L has a **strong Frobenius structure** for p of **period h** , and $h_{11}, \dots, h_{1n} \in E_p$ is the first row of H , then for every solution f of L , f belongs to a ring containing E_p , we have

$$h_{11}f(t^{p^h}) + \dots + h_{1n}f^{(n-1)}(t^{p^h})$$

is a solution of L . This application is \mathbb{C}_p -linear.

FROBENIUS ACTION AND ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

Frobenius action: If L has a **strong Frobenius structure** for p of **period h** , and $h_{11}, \dots, h_{1n} \in E_p$ is the first row of H , then for every solution f of L , f belongs to a ring containing E_p , we have

$$h_{11}f(t^{p^h}) + \dots + h_{1n}f^{(n-1)}(t^{p^h})$$

is a solution of L . This application is \mathbb{C}_p -linear.

Applying the **Cayley-Hamilton** theorem, we obtain the following

Theorem (I)

Let $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)t^n \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$ be a solution of L endowed of a **strong Frobenius structure** for p of **period h** . Then $f|_p$ is **algebraic** over $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ and

$$\deg(f|_p) \leq p^{n^2 h}$$

Where n is the **order** of L .

GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC EQUATIONS

Dwork and Salinier proved the following theorem using different methods.

GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC EQUATIONS

Dwork and Salinier proved the following theorem using different methods.

Theorem

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$. If $a, b, c - a, c - b \notin \mathbb{Z}$, the operator associated to the Gauss hypergeometric equation,

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{c - (a + b + 1)t}{t(1 - t)} \frac{d}{dt} - \frac{ab}{t(1 - t)}, \quad (1)$$

has a *strong Frobenius structure* for almost all p of period

$$h \leq \varphi(d(a))\varphi(d(b))\varphi(d(c)),$$

where φ is the Euler phi function and d is the *denominator* function

RIGIDITY

Salinier's proof is based on the classic theory of differential equations and p -adic differential equations.

RIGIDITY

Salinier's proof is based on the classic theory of differential equations and p -adic differential equations.

Theorem (VM.)

Let $L \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[d/dt]$. We suppose that the following conditions are verified.

- 1 The *singular* points of L are *regular*.
- 2 The *exponents* at singular points are *rational* numbers.
- 3 The *monodromy* group of L is *rigid*.

Then, the differential operator L has a *strong Frobenius structure* for almost all prime number p .

MONDROMY GROUP

Let $\gamma_1 = 0, \dots, \gamma_r = \infty$ be the singularities of L .

MONDROMY GROUP

Let $\gamma_1 = 0, \dots, \gamma_r = \infty$ be the singularities of L . Let $M_i \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the **monodromy local** matrix of L at γ_i . Such that the monodromy group of L is the group generated by the matrices M_1, \dots, M_r with the relation $M_1 \cdots M_r = Id_n$.

MONDROMY GROUP

Let $\gamma_1 = 0, \dots, \gamma_r = \infty$ be the singularities of L . Let $M_i \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the **monodromy local** matrix of L at γ_i . Such that the monodromy group of L is the group generated by the matrices M_1, \dots, M_r with the relation $M_1 \cdots M_r = Id_n$.

We say that **monodromy group** of L is **rigid** if for every $N_1, \dots, N_r \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $N_1 \cdots N_r = Id_n$ and N_i **cojugated** to M_i , then there is $U \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$UN_iU^{-1} = M_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

GENERALIZED HYPERGEOMETRIC EQUATION

The differential operator associated to the generalized hypergeometric equation is

$$\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}) : -t(\delta + \alpha_1) \cdots (\delta + \alpha_n) + (\delta + \beta_1 - 1) \cdots (\delta + \beta_n - 1),$$

GENERALIZED HYPERGEOMETRIC EQUATION

The differential operator associated to the generalized hypergeometric equation is

$$\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}) : -t(\delta + \alpha_1) \cdots (\delta + \alpha_n) + (\delta + \beta_1 - 1) \cdots (\delta + \beta_n - 1),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\alpha_i - \beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

GENERALIZED HYPERGEOMETRIC EQUATION

The differential operator associated to the generalized hypergeometric equation is

$$\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}) : -t(\delta + \alpha_1) \cdots (\delta + \alpha_n) + (\delta + \beta_1 - 1) \cdots (\delta + \beta_n - 1),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\alpha_i - \beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

- The singularities of $\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta})$ are **regular**, which are $0, 1, \infty$.
- The **exponents** at infinity are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, the **exponents** at 0 are $1 - \beta_1, \dots, 1 - \beta_n$, and the **exponents** at 1 are $0, 1, \dots, n - 2, -1 + \sum(\beta_i - \alpha_i)$.
- The **monodromy** group of $\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta})$ is **rigid**. (Levelt).

GENERALIZED HYPERGEOMETRIC EQUATION

The differential operator associated to the generalized hypergeometric equation is

$$\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}) : -t(\delta + \alpha_1) \cdots (\delta + \alpha_n) + (\delta + \beta_1 - 1) \cdots (\delta + \beta_n - 1),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\alpha_i - \beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{S} := \{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{2\} \text{ such that } |\alpha_i|_p, |\beta_j|_p = 1\}$.

Theorem (II)

$\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta})$ has a *strong Frobenius structure* for $p \in \mathcal{S}$ of period

$$h \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi(d(\alpha_i)) \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi(d(\beta_j)).$$

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

We come back to the serie

$$f_2(t) := {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 2/3, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(2/3)_k k!} \right) t^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]].$$

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

We come back to the serie

$$f_2(t) := {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 2/3, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(2/3)_k k!} \right) t^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]].$$

$f_2(t)$ is solution of $\mathcal{H}_{(1/2,1/2),(2/3,1)}$.

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

We come back to the serie

$$f_2(t) := {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 2/3, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(2/3)_k k!} \right) t^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]].$$

$f_2(t)$ is solution of $\mathcal{H}_{(1/2, 1/2), (2/3, 1)}$.

The **theorem II** ensures us that $\mathcal{H}_{(1/2, 1/2), (2/3, 1)}$ has a **strong Frobenius structure** for $p \geq 5$.

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

We come back to the serie

$$f_2(t) := {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 2/3, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(2/3)_k k!} \right) t^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]].$$

$f_2(t)$ is solution of $\mathcal{H}_{(1/2, 1/2), (2/3, 1)}$.

The **theorem II** ensures us that $\mathcal{H}_{(1/2, 1/2), (2/3, 1)}$ has a **strong Frobenius structure** for $p \geq 5$.

For $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $f_2(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$ and for these primer numbers the period $h = 1$

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

We come back to the serie

$$f_2(t) := {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 2/3, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(2/3)_k k!} \right) t^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]].$$

$f_2(t)$ is solution of $\mathcal{H}_{(1/2, 1/2), (2/3, 1)}$.

The **theorem II** ensures us that $\mathcal{H}_{(1/2, 1/2), (2/3, 1)}$ has a **strong Frobenius structure** for $p \geq 5$.

For $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $f_2(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$ and for these primer numbers the period $h = 1$, the **theorem I** implies that $f_{2|p}$ is **algebraic** and

$$\deg(f_{2|p}) \leq p^{2^2}.$$

Let us consider

$$f_3(t) := {}_3F_2(1/9, 4/9, 5/9; 1/3, 1, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/9)_k (4/9)_k (5/9)_k}{(1/3)_k k!^2} \right) t^k.$$

Let us consider

$$f_3(t) := {}_3F_2(1/9, 4/9, 5/9; 1/3, 1, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/9)_k (4/9)_k (5/9)_k}{(1/3)_k k!^2} \right) t^k.$$

At this stage we do not know if it belongs to \mathcal{D} .

Let us consider

$$f_3(t) := {}_3F_2(1/9, 4/9, 5/9; 1/3, 1, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/9)_k (4/9)_k (5/9)_k}{(1/3)_k k!^2} \right) t^k.$$

At this stage we do not know if it belongs to \mathcal{D} . We have $f_3(t)$ is solution of $\mathcal{H}_{(1/9, 4/9, 5/9), (1/3, 1, 1)}$.

Let us consider

$$f_3(t) := {}_3F_2(1/9, 4/9, 5/9; 1/3, 1, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/9)_k (4/9)_k (5/9)_k}{(1/3)_k k!^2} \right) t^k.$$

At this stage we do not know if it belongs to \mathcal{D} . We have $f_3(t)$ is solution of $\mathcal{H}_{(1/9, 4/9, 5/9), (1/3, 1, 1)}$.

After **theorem II**, $\mathcal{H}_{(1/9, 4/9, 5/9), (1/3, 1, 1)}$ has a **strong Frobenius structure** for $p \geq 7$.

Let us consider

$$f_3(t) := {}_3F_2(1/9, 4/9, 5/9; 1/3, 1, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/9)_k (4/9)_k (5/9)_k}{(1/3)_k k!^2} \right) t^k.$$

At this stage we do not know if it belongs to \mathcal{D} . We have $f_3(t)$ is solution of $\mathcal{H}_{(1/9, 4/9, 5/9), (1/3, 1, 1)}$.

After **theorem II**, $\mathcal{H}_{(1/9, 4/9, 5/9), (1/3, 1, 1)}$ has a **strong Frobenius structure** for $p \geq 7$.

For $p \neq 3$, $f_3(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$.

Let us consider

$$f_3(t) := {}_3F_2(1/9, 4/9, 5/9; 1/3, 1, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1/9)_k (4/9)_k (5/9)_k}{(1/3)_k k!^2} \right) t^k.$$

At this stage we do not know if it belongs to \mathcal{D} . We have $f_3(t)$ is solution of $\mathcal{H}_{(1/9, 4/9, 5/9), (1/3, 1, 1)}$.

After **theorem II**, $\mathcal{H}_{(1/9, 4/9, 5/9), (1/3, 1, 1)}$ has a **strong Frobenius structure** for $p \geq 7$.

For $p \neq 3$, $f_3(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[t]]$. Applying **theorem I** we have that for $p \geq 7$, $f_{3|p}$ is **algebraic** and

$$\deg(f_{3|p}) \leq p^{3^2 \times 6}.$$

Theorem (VM.)

Let $L \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[d/dt]$. We suppose that the following conditions are verified.

- 1 The *singular* points of L are *regular* .
- 2 The *exponents* at singular points are *rational* numbers.
- 3 The *monodromy* group of L is *rigid*.

Then, the differential operator L has a *strong Frobenius structure* for almost all prime numbers p .

IDEA OF THE PROOF THEOREM (VM.)

We will construct a set \mathcal{S} of prime numbers which provide L of a strong Frobenius structure .

IDEA OF THE PROOF THEOREM (VM.)

We will construct a set \mathcal{S} of **prime** numbers which provide L of a **strong Frobenius structure**.

Let \mathfrak{A} be the set of the following elements :

- The **denominators** of the exponents of $\gamma_1 = 0, \dots, \gamma_r = \infty$.

IDEA OF THE PROOF THEOREM (VM.)

We will construct a set \mathcal{S} of **prime** numbers which provide L of a **strong Frobenius structure**.

Let \mathfrak{A} be the set of the following elements :

- The **denominators** of the exponents of $\gamma_1 = 0, \dots, \gamma_r = \infty$.
- The coefficients of $a_0(t)$.

IDEA OF THE PROOF THEOREM (VM.)

We will construct a set \mathcal{S} of **prime** numbers which provide L of a **strong Frobenius structure**.

Let \mathfrak{A} be the set of the following elements :

- The **denominators** of the exponents of $\gamma_1 = 0, \dots, \gamma_r = \infty$.
- The coefficients of $a_0(t)$.
- The **algebraic** numbers $\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{r-1}, \gamma_1 + 1, \dots, \gamma_{r-1} + 1$, and the differences $\gamma_i - \gamma_j$ for $i \neq j$.

IDEA OF THE PROOF THEOREM (VM.)

We will construct a set \mathcal{S} of **prime** numbers which provide L of a **strong Frobenius structure**.

Let \mathfrak{A} be the set of the following elements :

- The **denominators** of the exponents of $\gamma_1 = 0, \dots, \gamma_r = \infty$.
- The coefficients of $a_0(t)$.
- The **algebraic** numbers $\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{r-1}, \gamma_1 + 1, \dots, \gamma_{r-1} + 1$, and the differences $\gamma_i - \gamma_j$ for $i \neq j$.

$\mathcal{S} = \{p \in \mathcal{P} \text{ such that } |u|_p = 1 \forall u \in \mathfrak{A}\}$, then $\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is finite.

IDEA OF THE PROOF THEOREM (VM.)

We will construct a set \mathcal{S} of **prime** numbers which provide L of a **strong Frobenius structure**.

Let \mathfrak{A} be the set of the following elements :

- The **denominators** of the exponents of $\gamma_1 = 0, \dots, \gamma_r = \infty$.
- The coefficients of $a_0(t)$.
- The **algebraic** numbers $\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{r-1}, \gamma_1 + 1, \dots, \gamma_{r-1} + 1$, and the differences $\gamma_i - \gamma_j$ for $i \neq j$.

$\mathcal{S} = \{p \in \mathcal{P} \text{ such that } |u|_p = 1 \forall u \in \mathfrak{A}\}$, then $\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is finite.

Since the **exponents** are **rational** numbers, there is $h \geq 1$ such that $\forall p \in \mathcal{S}, p^h \alpha \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$, for every exponent α and

$$|\gamma_i^{p^h} - \gamma_i|_p < 1. \forall 1 \leq i \leq r - 1.$$

The matrix $B := p^h t^{p^h-1} A(t^{p^h})$ does not have the same singularities than A . It is then impossible to compare locally A and B over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

The matrix $B := p^h t^{p^h-1} A(t^{p^h})$ does not have the same singularities than A . It is then impossible to compare locally A and B over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

However, the singularities of B modulo p are the same as the singularities of A modulo p .

The matrix $B := p^h t^{p^h - 1} A(t^{p^h})$ does not have the same singularities than A . It is then impossible to compare locally A and B over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

However, the singularities of B modulo p are the same as the singularities of A modulo p . So that, the theory of **p-adic equations** ensures us that B is E_p -**equivalent** to

$$G = -\frac{1}{t+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r N_j \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{t - \gamma_j} N_j \in M_n(\mathbb{C}_p(t)),$$

The matrix $B := p^h t^{p^h - 1} A(t^{p^h})$ does not have the same singularities than A . It is then impossible to compare locally A and B over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

However, the singularities of B modulo p are the same as the singularities of A modulo p . So that, the theory of **p-adic equations** ensures us that B is E_p -**equivalent** to

$$G = -\frac{1}{t+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r N_j \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{t - \gamma_j} N_j \in M_n(\mathbb{C}_p(t)),$$

where $N_j \in M_n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ and the **eigenvalues** of N_j are the exponents of L at γ_j multiplied by p^h and $\sum_{j=1}^r N_j \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is a diagonal matrix.

The matrix $B := p^h t^{p^h-1} A(t^{p^h})$ does not have the same singularities than A . It is then impossible to compare locally A and B over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

However, the singularities of B modulo p are the same as the singularities of A modulo p . So that, the theory of **p-adic equations** ensures us that B is E_p -**equivalent** to

$$G = -\frac{1}{t+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r N_j \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{t-\gamma_j} N_j \in M_n(\mathbb{C}_p(t)),$$

where $N_j \in M_n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ and the **eigenvalues** of N_j are the exponents of L at γ_j multiplied by p^h and $\sum_{j=1}^r N_j \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is a diagonal matrix.

In particular the **eigenvalues** of N_j 's are $p^h \alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Where $\alpha_{i,j}$ is an exponent of L at γ_j .

The main points in the proof are the following:

- 1 Let $\kappa : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism of fields.

$$G^\kappa = -\frac{1}{t+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r N_j^\kappa \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{t - \kappa(\gamma_j)} N_j^\kappa \in M_n(\mathbb{C}(t)).$$

The main points in the proof are the following:

- 1 Let $\kappa : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism of fields.

$$G^\kappa = -\frac{1}{t+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r N_j^\kappa \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{t - \kappa(\gamma_j)} N_j^\kappa \in M_n(\mathbb{C}(t)).$$

From the construction of \mathcal{S} and h , we will have that the **local monodromy** matrix of G^κ at $\kappa(\gamma_j)$ and the **local monodromy** matrix of A at γ_j are **conjugated**.

The main points in the proof are the following:

- 1 Let $\kappa : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism of fields.

$$G^\kappa = -\frac{1}{t+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r N_j^\kappa \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{t - \kappa(\gamma_j)} N_j^\kappa \in M_n(\mathbb{C}(t)).$$

From the construction of \mathcal{S} and h , we will have that the **local monodromy** matrix of G^κ at $\kappa(\gamma_j)$ and the **local monodromy** matrix of A at γ_j are **conjugated**.

- 2 Finally the **rigidity** hypothesis implies that A and G^κ are $\mathbb{C}(t)$ -equivalents. So that, A and G are E_p -equivalents, which implies that A and B are E_p -equivalents.

MONODROMY OF L

Let M_j be the **local monodromy** matrix of L at γ_j .

MONODROMY OF L

Let M_j be the **local monodromy** matrix of L at γ_j . Since γ_j is a **regular singular** point, M_j is conjugated to the matrix $\exp(2\pi i C_j)$, where $C_j \in M_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ satisfying the following conditions:

MONODROMY OF L

Let M_j be the **local monodromy** matrix of L at γ_j . Since γ_j is a **regular singular** point, M_j is conjugated to the matrix $\exp(2\pi i C_j)$, where $C_j \in M_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- a) If λ, β are two different eigenvalues of C_j , then $\lambda - \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

MONODROMY OF L

Let M_j be the **local monodromy** matrix of L at γ_j . Since γ_j is a **regular singular** point, M_j is conjugated to the matrix $\exp(2\pi i C_j)$, where $C_j \in M_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- a) If λ, β are two different eigenvalues of C_j , then $\lambda - \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$.
- b) Let $\alpha_{i,j}$ be an **exponent** of L at γ_j , there is $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha_{i,j} + m$ is an **eigenvalue** of C_j .

MONODROMY OF L

Let M_j be the **local monodromy** matrix of L at γ_j . Since γ_j is a **regular singular** point, M_j is conjugated to the matrix $\exp(2\pi i C_j)$, where $C_j \in M_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- a) If λ, β are two different eigenvalues of C_j , then $\lambda - \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$.
- b) Let $\alpha_{i,j}$ be an **exponent** of L at γ_j , there is $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha_{i,j} + m$ is an **eigenvalue** of C_j .

Since $p^h \alpha_{i,j} \equiv \alpha_{i,j} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ for $p \in \mathcal{S}$, the matrices $\exp(2\pi i C_j)$ and $\exp(2\pi i p^h C_j)$ are **conjugated**.

MONODROMY OF G^κ

$$G^\kappa = -\frac{1}{t+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r N_j^\kappa \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{t - \kappa(\gamma_j)} N_j^\kappa \in M_n(\mathbb{C}(t)).$$

MONODROMY OF G^κ

$$G^\kappa = -\frac{1}{t+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r N_j^\kappa \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{t - \kappa(\gamma_j)} N_j^\kappa \in M_n(\mathbb{C}(t)).$$

Let T_j be the **locale monodromy** matrix of G^κ at $\kappa(\gamma_j)$. Since $\kappa(\gamma_j)$ is a regular singular point of G^κ , T_j is conjugated to $\exp(2\pi i L_j)$, where L_j verifies :

MONODROMY OF G^κ

$$G^\kappa = -\frac{1}{t+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r N_j^\kappa \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{t - \kappa(\gamma_j)} N_j^\kappa \in M_n(\mathbb{C}(t)).$$

Let T_j be the **locale monodromy** matrix of G^κ at $\kappa(\gamma_j)$. Since $\kappa(\gamma_j)$ is a regular singular point of G^κ , T_j is conjugated to $\exp(2\pi i L_j)$, where L_j verifies :

- Let λ, β be two different eigenvalues L_j , then $\lambda - \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

MONODROMY OF G^κ

$$G^\kappa = -\frac{1}{t+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r N_j^\kappa \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{t - \kappa(\gamma_j)} N_j^\kappa \in M_n(\mathbb{C}(t)).$$

Let T_j be the **locale monodromy** matrix of G^κ at $\kappa(\gamma_j)$. Since $\kappa(\gamma_j)$ is a regular singular point of G^κ , T_j is conjugated to $\exp(2\pi i L_j)$, where L_j verifies :

- Let λ, β be two different eigenvalues L_j , then $\lambda - \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$.
- If α is an eigenvalue of N_j^κ , there is $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha + m$ is an eigenvalue of L_j .

RIGIDITY

M_j and T_j are conjugated

RIGIDITY

M_j and T_j are conjugated and since the monodromy group of L is rigid then the monodromy groups of L and G^κ are isomorphic

RIGIDITY

M_j and T_j are conjugated and since the monodromy group of L is **rigid** then the **monodromy** groups of L and G^κ are isomorphic and, after the **Riemann-Hilbert** correspondance, there is $H_1 \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C}(t))$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H_1 = AH_1 - H_1G^\kappa.$$

RIGIDITY

M_j and T_j are conjugated and since the monodromy group of L is **rigid** then the **monodromy** groups of L and G^κ are isomorphic and, after the **Riemann-Hilbert** correspondance, there is $H_1 \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C}(t))$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H_1 = AH_1 - H_1G^\kappa.$$

We set $H = H_1^{\kappa^{-1}}$, so, $H \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C}_p(t)) \subset Gl_n(E_p)$ and since $\frac{d}{dt} \circ \kappa^{-1} = \kappa^{-1} \circ \frac{d}{dt}$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}H = A^{\kappa^{-1}}H - HG.$$

RIGIDITY

M_j and T_j are conjugated and since the monodromy group of L is **rigid** then the **monodromy** groups of L and G^κ are isomorphic and, after the **Riemann-Hilbert** correspondance, there is $H_1 \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C}(t))$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H_1 = AH_1 - H_1G^\kappa.$$

We set $H = H_1^{\kappa^{-1}}$, so, $H \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C}_p(t)) \subset Gl_n(E_p)$ and since $\frac{d}{dt} \circ \kappa^{-1} = \kappa^{-1} \circ \frac{d}{dt}$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}H = A^{\kappa^{-1}}H - HG.$$

Consequently, A and G are E_p -equivalents,

RIGIDITY

M_j and T_j are conjugated and since the monodromy group of L is **rigid** then the **monodromy** groups of L and G^κ are isomorphic and, after the **Riemann-Hilbert** correspondance, there is $H_1 \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C}(t))$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H_1 = AH_1 - H_1G^\kappa.$$

We set $H = H_1^{\kappa^{-1}}$, so, $H \in Gl_n(\mathbb{C}_p(t)) \subset Gl_n(E_p)$ and since $\frac{d}{dt} \circ \kappa^{-1} = \kappa^{-1} \circ \frac{d}{dt}$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}H = A^{\kappa^{-1}}H - HG.$$

Consequently, A and G are E_p -**equivalents**, but G is E_p -**equivalent** to B , then by transitivity we have that A and B are E_p -**equivalents**. \square