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Abstract. The complex dimension of the space of linearly full almost complex
2-spheres of area $4\pi d$ in the round 6-sphere is calculated to be $d + 8$. Explicit
examples of these objects are constructed for every integer value of the degree,$d \geq 6$, $d \neq 7$. Furthermore, it is shown that when $d = 6$ this space is isomorphic
to the group $G_2(\mathbb{C})$, and when $d = 7$ this space is empty. We also show that
the dimension of the space of non-linearly full almost complex 2-spheres of
area $4\pi d$ in the round 6-sphere is $2d + 5$.

1. Introduction

Octonionic multiplication in $\mathbb{R}^8$ induces a cross product in the vector space,
isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^7$, of imaginary octonions, by defining

$$x \times y = \text{Im}(xy)$$

where octonionic multiplication between $x$ and $y$ is understood and $\text{Im}( )$ denotes
the octonionic imaginary part. In turn, this defines an almost complex structure
in $S^6 \subset \text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$: if $p \in S^6$ and $X_p \in T_p S^6$, define

$$J_p(X_p) = p \times X_p.$$ 

Then $J$ is an orthogonal almost complex structure in $S^6$. Furthermore, it is a nearly
Kähler structure in $S^6$ in the sense that $(\nabla_X J)X = 0$ for any $X \in TS^6$, where $\nabla$
denotes the Levi-Civita connection in $S^6$ [15].

A smooth map $f$ from any almost complex manifold $(M, J^M)$ to $S^6$ is almost
complex if it is a morphism from $(M, J^M)$ to $(S^6, J)$, i.e.

$$df \circ J^M = J \circ df.$$ 

The particular case of almost complex maps from $S^2 \cong \mathbb{CP}^1$ to $S^6$ has been studied
by several authors (see for example [8, 7, 10, 15, 22, 23]). In particular, explicit
examples of these maps were found in [23], and a Weierstrass-like representation
was given in [8].

On the other hand, a map $f : S^2 \to S^6$ is harmonic if $\Delta S^2 f = \lambda f$ for some
function $\lambda : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ (see [9] for example). A simple computation shows that
almost complex maps from $S^2$ to $S^6$ are, in particular, harmonic (see Section 2).
This has several implications. The area of a harmonic map $f : S^2 \to S^6$ is graded
by the degree: $\text{Area}(f(S^2)) = 4\pi d$, where $d$ is a positive integer [1], and the space
of linearly full (i.e. whose image does not lie in a proper subsphere of $S^6$) harmonic
maps of degree $d$ from $S^2$ to $S^6$ can be given the structure of a complex projective
variety [10, 16] of dimension $2d + 9$ [13, 14]. Therefore, the set of almost complex
maps from $S^2$ to $S^6$ of a given degree can be furnished with the structure of a
projective subvariety of the space of harmonic maps from $S^2$ to $S^6$, and the following
questions arise naturally: What is its dimension? Are there examples of linearly
full almost complex maps from $S^2$ to $S^6$ for every value of the degree?

In this paper we use standard techniques in the study of harmonic maps to show
that the set of linearly full almost complex maps from $S^2$ to $S^6$ is nonempty with
dimension $d + 8$ for $d \geq 6$, $d \neq 7$, and is empty otherwise. Furthermore, when
$d = 6$, this space is isomorphic to $G_2(\mathbb{C})$. In addition, explicit examples of linearly
full almost complex maps are found for every value of $d \geq 6$, $d \neq 7$. We also find
that the dimension of the space of non-linearly full maps is $2d + 5$.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we give a quick introduction of
the tools that will be used in subsequent sections. In Section 3 we find criteria to
determine when a harmonic map from $S^2$ to $S^6$ is almost complex, and we show
that two almost complex maps are $SO(7, \mathbb{C})$-congruent (in the appropriate sense,
see for example [1]) if and only if they are $G_2(\mathbb{C})$-congruent. This fact will be used
in Section 4 to prove the statements regarding dimension explained above. Finally,
in Section 5 we construct explicit examples of linearly full almost complex maps
from $S^2$ to $S^6$.
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2. Preliminaries

2.1. The octonions. Let $\{1, i, j, k, \epsilon, ie, j\epsilon, k\epsilon\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^8$.
The (real) octonions, denoted by $\mathbb{O}$, are the (nonassociative, noncommutative)
algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ with multiplication table, given in terms of this basis, by

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & i & j & k & \epsilon & ie & j\epsilon & k\epsilon \\
1 & -1 & i & j & k & \epsilon & ie & j\epsilon & k\epsilon \\
i & i & -1 & k & -j & ie & -\epsilon & -k\epsilon & j\epsilon \\
j & j & -k & -1 & i & je & k\epsilon & -\epsilon & -i \epsilon \\
k & k & j & i & -1 & k\epsilon & -je & ie & -k\epsilon \\
\epsilon & \epsilon & -ie & -je & -k\epsilon & -1 & i & j & k \\
ie & ie & \epsilon & -k\epsilon & je & -i & -1 & -k & j \\
j\epsilon & j\epsilon & k\epsilon & \epsilon & -ie & -j & k & -1 & -i \\
k\epsilon & k\epsilon & -j\epsilon & ie & \epsilon & -k & -j & i & -1 \\
\end{array}
\]

Similarly one defines the complex octonions as $\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with the multiplication table
above. The real part of a real or complex octonion is the term involving $1$; the
imaginary part is the sum of the remaining terms.

Let $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ and $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ denote the real and complex span, respectively, of
$\{i, j, k, \epsilon, ie, j\epsilon, k\epsilon\}$. Then the formula

\[x \times y = \text{Im}(xy)\]
defines a cross product in $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O}) \cong \mathbb{R}^7$ or $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}^7$ with the following
properties: for $u, v, w$ in $\mathbb{O}$ or $\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{C}$,

\[(2.1) \quad u \times v = \frac{1}{2}(uv - vu) \quad \text{and} \quad (u, v) = -\frac{1}{2}(uv + vu),\]
where \(( , )\) denotes the standard inner product of \(\mathbb{R}^7\) or its bilinear extension to \(\mathbb{C}^7\), i.e.
\[
(u_1, \ldots, u_7), (v_1, \ldots, v_7) = \sum_{i=1}^{7} u_i v_j
\]
for \(u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}\) or \(\mathbb{C}\), \(1 \leq i \leq 7\). We will use \(( , ,)\) to denote the hermitian inner product in \(\mathbb{C}^7\), i.e.
\[
(u_1, \ldots, u_7), (v_1, \ldots, v_7) = \sum_{i=1}^{7} u_i \bar{v}_j
\]
for \(u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{C}\), \(1 \leq i \leq 7\). Other important properties of the cross product are
\begin{align}
(2.2) & \quad u \times (v \times w) + (u \times v) \times w = 2(u, w)v - (u, v)w - (w, v)u, \\
(2.3) & \quad u \times (u \times v) = (u, v)u - (u, u)v, \\
(2.4) & \quad (u, v \times w) = (v, w \times u) = (w, u \times v).
\end{align}

The group of automorphisms of the octonions and complex octonions are \(G_2\) and \(G_2(\mathbb{C})\), respectively, i.e., \((gu)(gv)) = g(\mathbb{C} \varepsilon C)\) for all \(g \in G_2\) and \(G_2(\mathbb{C})\) and all \(u, v\) in \(\mathbb{O}\) and \(\mathbb{O} \varepsilon \mathbb{C}\), respectively. For a very clear and beautiful exposition of the octonions and their properties, see for example [19, 20].

2.2. Almost complex maps from the 2-sphere to the 6-sphere. A map \(f : S^2 \rightarrow S^6\) is almost complex if \(J \circ df = df \circ J^{S^2}\), where \(J\) denotes the almost complex structure in \(S^6\) defined by
\[
J_p(X_p) = p \times X_p
\]
for \(p \in S^6 \subset \text{Im}(\mathbb{O})\) and \(X_p \in T_pS^6 \subset T_p\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})\).

The standard complex structure of \(S^2 \cong \mathbb{C}P^1\) can be defined, similarly, using the cross product in \(\mathbb{R}^3\):
\[
J^{S^2}_q(Y_q) = q \times Y_q,
\]
where \(q \in S^2\) and \(Y_q \in T_qS^2\), and the cross product is given by
\[
x \times y = \text{Im}(xy)
\]
where in this case quaternionic multiplication and imaginary parts are used. In fact, this gives the simplest examples of almost complex maps from \(S^2\) to \(S^6\): if \(\hat{f} : S^2 \rightarrow S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \cong \text{Im}(\mathbb{H})\) is any holomorphic map, and if \(h : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{O}\) is any linear homomorphism of algebras, then \(h(\text{Im}(\mathbb{H})) \subset \text{Im}(\mathbb{O})\) and \(h(x \times y) = h(x) \times h(y)\) for \(x, y \in \text{Im}(\mathbb{H})\), which implies that \(f := h \circ \hat{f}\) will be an almost complex map since
\[
J \circ df = J \circ dh \circ d\hat{f} = dh \circ J^{S^2} \circ d\hat{f} \quad \text{because } h \text{ is a linear homomorphism}
\]
\[
= dh \circ d\hat{f} \circ J^{S^2} \quad \text{because } \hat{f} \text{ is holomorphic}
\]
\[
= df \circ J^{S^2}.
\]

We will often identify \((S^2, J^{S^2})\) with \(\mathbb{C}P^1\) (or with \(\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}\)) via a bi-holomorphic map, for example an appropriate stereographic projection.

In general, let \(z = x + iy\) be a local holomorphic coordinate in \(S^2\). Then
\[
J^{S^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad J^{S^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}.
\]
Therefore \( f : S^2 \to S^6 \subset \mathbb{R}^7 \cong \text{Im}(\Omega) \) is almost complex if and only if

\[
J_{f(z)} \left( df \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \right) = df \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad J_{f(z)} \left( df \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right) = -df \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)
\]

Using subscripts to indicate differentiation, this equation can be written as

\[
f \times f_x = f_y \quad \text{and} \quad f \times f_y = -f_x.
\]

Differentiating again, and using 2.1,

\[
f \times f_{xx} = f_{xy} \quad \text{and} \quad f \times f_{yy} = -f_{yx},
\]

and adding these two equations we obtain

\[
f \times (f_{xx} + f_{yy}) = 0.
\]

Thus \( (f_{xx}(z) + f_{yy}(z)) \) is orthogonal to \( f(z) \) for all \( z \in S^2 \), and hence \( f \) is harmonic.

Using \( \text{Harm}(S^2, S^6) \) and \( \text{Ac}(S^2, S^6) \) to denote the set of harmonic maps and almost complex maps, respectively, from \( S^2 \) to \( S^6 \), we therefore have

\[
\text{Ac}(S^2, S^6) \subset \text{Harm}(S^2, S^6).
\]

Recall [1] that the area of the image of a harmonic map from \( S^2 \) to \( S^{2n} \) is \( 4\pi d \), where \( d \) is a positive integer called the degree of the harmonic map. We will use \( \text{Harm}_d(S^2, S^6) \) and \( \text{Ac}_d(S^2, S^6) \) to denote the subsets of \( \text{Harm}(S^2, S^6) \) and \( \text{Ac}(S^2, S^6) \), respectively, of maps of degree \( d \). Also, we will use \( \text{Harm}_d^f(S^2, S^6) \) and \( \text{Ac}_d^f(S^2, S^6) \) to denote the subsets of linearly full maps, i.e. whose image is not contained in any proper geodesic subsphere of \( S^6 \), and \( \text{Harm}_d^{(k)}(S^2, S^6) \) and \( \text{Ac}_d^{(k)}(S^2, S^6) \) to denote the subsets of those maps whose image is contained in a \( k \)-dimensional subsphere but not in a \( (k-1) \)-dimensional subsphere of \( S^6 \). It is known [9] that \( k \) has to be an even number. In addition, it is proved in [7, Lemma 4.3] that \( \text{Ac}_d^{(4)}(S^2, S^6) \) is empty. Therefore

\[
\text{Ac}_d(S^2, S^6) = \text{Ac}_d^{(2)}(S^2, S^6) \sqcup \text{Ac}_d^f(S^2, S^6) \quad \text{(disjoint union)}.
\]

The set \( \text{Harm}_d(S^2, S^6) \) can be furnished with the structure of an algebraic variety [16]; the dimension of \( \text{Harm}_d^f(S^2, S^6) \) is \( 2d + 9 \), and \( \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Harm}_d^{(2k)}(S^2, S^6)) = 2d + 9 - (3 - k)(2 - k) \) for \( k = 1, 2 \) [13, 14]. Since The set \( \text{Ac}_d(S^2, S^6) \) is an algebraic subvariety of \( \text{Harm}_d(S^2, S^6) \) [10], to find the dimension of \( \text{Ac}_d(S^2, S^6) \) we can use some of the common machinery in the study of harmonic maps into spheres and projective spaces—namely harmonic sequences (see for example [24, 6, 7]), singularity type (see [17, 4, 1, 6]) and twistor lifts (see [9, 1]). We now give a quick introduction to these techniques.

2.3. Harmonic sequences. We describe the harmonic sequence of a harmonic map for the specific case of linearly full maps from \( S^2 \) to \( S^6 \). Details and proofs can be found, for example, in [6, 3, 11], and a more general description appears in [24].

The idea is simple: given a linearly full harmonic map \( f : S^2 \to S^6 \subset \mathbb{C}^7 \), differentiate it and project the result over the space orthogonal to \( f \) to obtain the next element of the sequence. This procedure is independent of the chosen coordinate
modulo scalar multiplication, so it produces a sequence of smooth functions from $S^2$ to $\mathbb{C}P^6$. More precisely, let $f_p : S^2 \to \mathbb{C}^7$ be given inductively by the conditions
\begin{align}
(2.5) & \quad f_0 = f \\
(2.6) & \quad f_{p+1} = \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{|f_p|^2} \left( \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial z}, f_p \right) f_p, \quad -3 \leq p \leq 2 \\
(2.7) & \quad f_{p-1} = \frac{|f_{p-1}|^2}{|f_p|^2} \left( \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial \bar{z}} \right), \quad -2 \leq p \leq 3,
\end{align}
where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $| \cdot |$ denote the hermitian product and associated norm, respectively, in $\mathbb{C}^7$. Since $f$ is assumed to be linearly full, the maps $f_p$, $-3 \leq p \leq 3$, are not identically zero, and their definition, away from the points where any of the $f_p$ is zero, is independent of the holomorphic coordinate $z$ chosen, modulo multiplication by scalars. Thus, the maps $\phi_p := [f_p]$, $-3 \leq p \leq 3$, are well defined in an open subset of $S^2$; furthermore, their definition can be extended over the points where any of the $f_p$ is zero, giving maps $\phi_p : S^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^6$. It is not hard to check that they are harmonic [11].

The sequence of maps $\phi_p$, $-3 \leq p \leq 3$, is called the harmonic sequence of $f$. Additionally, $\phi_{-3}$ is holomorphic and $\phi_3$ is antiholomorphic. Although the sequence of functions $f_p$ defined above consists only of local representatives of the harmonic sequence $\phi_p$, by a slight abuse of language we will also refer to it as ‘the harmonic sequence of $f$’. Note that, although the functions $\phi_p$ do not depend on the coordinate $z$ used in the definition of the $f_p$, the functions $f_p$ certainly do.

The maps $f_p$ satisfy the following properties (see, for example, [3]):
\begin{align}
(2.8) & \quad \bar{f}_p = (-1)^p |f_p|^2 f_{-p} \\
(2.9) & \quad \langle f_p, |f_p| \rangle = 1 \\
(2.10) & \quad \langle f_p, f_q \rangle = (-1)^p \delta_{-p,q},
\end{align}
where $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta. Together with (2.7), this implies that $f_{-3}$ is holomorphic.

The map $\phi_{-3}$, which is usually called the directrix curve of $f$, is characterized by being totally isotropic, i.e. for every local representation $f_{-3}$ of $\phi_{-3}$,
\[
\left( \frac{\partial f_{-3}}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial f_{-3}}{\partial z^j} \right) = 0, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq 2.
\]
Furthermore [1], every holomorphic, linearly full, totally isotropic map $\Sigma : S^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^6$ uniquely determines a harmonic map $f : S^2 \to S^6$ (defined using (2.6)) up to composition with the antipodal map of $S^6$. This implies that much of the study of the set of harmonic maps (or, in particular, of almost complex maps) from $S^2$ to $S^6$ can be translated to the study of totally isotropic curves in $\mathbb{C}P^6$. A very useful tool in the study of these curves is the notion of singularity type, which we describe in the next subsection.

2.4. Singularity type. We briefly describe the notion of singularity type of holomorphic curves in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. For details, see [4, 17]. Let $\Sigma$ be a Riemann surface and let
\[
G : \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}P^n
\]
be a linearly full holomorphic curve. Locally, write $G = [g(z)] = [g_0(z), \ldots, g_n(z)]$, where $z$ is a holomorphic coordinate in $\Sigma$ and where the $g_i$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, do not
vanish simultaneously. Then, for $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, the $k^{th}$ associated curve of $G$ is the map $\sigma_k : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^{k+1}\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{(k+1)^{-1}}$ locally defined by
\[
g \wedge \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial^k g}{\partial z^k}.
\]
A higher singularity of $G$ is a point $p$ where the derivative of any of the associated curves of $G$ is zero.

Writing $\sigma_k(z) = [\sigma_k(z)]$ locally, let $r_k(p)$ be the nonnegative integer defined by
\[
r_k(p) = \text{Order of vanishing of } (\sigma_k \wedge \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial z}) \text{ at } z = p.
\]
Note that all the $r_i(p)$ are zero except at a finite subset of $\Sigma$. The singularity type of the original map $G : \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is defined to be the set
\[
\{(p; r_0(p), \ldots, r_{n-1}(p)) \mid p \text{ is a higher singularity of } G\}.
\]
The total ramification degree of $\sigma_k$ is defined by
\[
r_k = \sum_{p \in \Sigma} r_k(p).
\]
If $\delta_k$ denotes the degree of $\sigma_k$, and writing $\delta_{-1} = \delta_n = 0$, we have the Plücker formulas
\[
\delta_{k-1} - 2\delta_k + \delta_{k+1} = 2g - 2 - r_k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n - 1,
\]
where $g$ is the genus of the surface $\Sigma$.

When $G$ is the directrix curve of a linearly full almost complex 2-sphere in $S^6$, the Plücker formulas greatly simplify. Let $f : S^2 \to S^6$ be a linearly full harmonic map, and let $\Phi_k, -3 \leq k \leq 3$, be its harmonic sequence. Then $\Phi_{-3} : S^2 \to \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^6$ is holomorphic and linearly full. Let $\sigma_k : S^2 \to \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{(k+1)^{-1}}$, $0 \leq k \leq 5$, be the $k^{th}$ associated curve of $\Phi_{-3}$, let $\delta_k$ be the degree of $\sigma_k$, and let $r_k$ be the total ramification degree of $\sigma_k$. The fact that $f_0$ is real implies [1]
\[
\delta_{-3k} = \delta_k, \quad p = 0, 1, 2,
\]
and then the Plücker formulas read
\[
\begin{align*}
-2\delta_0 + \delta_1 &= -(2 + r_0) \\
\delta_0 - 2\delta_1 + \delta_2 &= -(2 + r_1) \\
\delta_1 - \delta_2 &= -(2 + r_2).
\end{align*}
\]
This implies, in particular
\[
\delta_2 = 12 + r_0 + 2r_1 + 3r_2.
\]
On the other hand if $f_j, -3 \leq j \leq 3$, is the harmonic sequence of $f$, then equations (2.6) and (2.7) imply that
\[
\sigma_k = f_{-3} \wedge f_{-2} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{k-3}
\]
is a local representation of $\sigma_k$. Hence the degree of $\sigma_k$ can be calculated using the formula
\[
\delta_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}\partial z} \log |\sigma_k|^2 \, d\bar{z} \wedge dz.
\]
Using (2.13) and (2.10), we have
\[
|\sigma_k|^2 = |f_{-3}|^2 |f_{-2}|^2 \cdots |f_{k-3}|^2,
\]
and (2.6) and (2.7) imply, for $0 \leq k \leq 5$, that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \log(|f_{-3}|^2 |f_{-2}|^2 \cdots |f_{k-3}|^2) = \frac{|f_{k-2}|^2}{|f_{k-3}|^2},$$

so if we let

$$\gamma_j = |f_{j+2}|^2 / |f_j|^2, \quad -3 \leq j \leq 2,$$

then equation (2.9) implies that $\gamma_j = \gamma_{j-1}$, and therefore, for $0 \leq k \leq 2$,

$$\delta_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^2} \gamma_{k-3} \, d\bar{z} \wedge dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^2} \gamma_{2-k} \, d\bar{z} \wedge dz$$

(see [6] for details). In the particular case when $f \in Ac_d^f(S^2, S^6)$, Lemma 5.2 of [7] gives $\gamma_0 = 2\gamma_2$ (which also follows from the equality $|f_1|^2 |f_2|^2 = 2 |f_3|^2$ obtained in the proof of Proposition 3.1 below). Therefore, if $f \in Ac_d^f(S^2, S^6)$,

$$(2.15) \quad 2\delta_0 = \delta_2,$$

which, using (2.11), gives

$$(2.16) \quad r_2 = r_0,$$

and hence

$$(2.17) \quad \delta_0 = 6 + 2r_0 + r_1.$$  

The last three equations have particular importance in what follows. On the one hand, equation (2.15) states that the degree of a linearly full almost complex map from $S^2$ to $S^6$ is equal to the degree of its directrix curve, which is peculiar. On the other hand, as we will see in Section 4, a map $f \in Ac_d^f(S^2, S^6)$ is essentially determined by its singularity type, which is restricted by equation (2.17). This fact will be used to find an upper bound on the dimension of $Ac_d^f(S^2, S^6)$. A lower bound on the dimension of $Ac_d^f(S^2, S^6)$ is implicit in [10], where a different approach is used, as described in the next subsection.

2.5. Twistor lifts. We give a quick description of the twistor construction started by Calabi in the 60’s. For details, see [9, 1]. Given a linearly full harmonic map $f : S^2 \to S^{2n}$, its twistor lift is the map $\psi : S^2 \to \mathcal{Z}_n \subset \text{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ defined by

$$\psi(z) = \text{Span} \left( f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \ldots, \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial z^n} \right),$$

where $z$ is a holomorphic coordinate. The set $\mathcal{Z}_n$ is the submanifold of the Grassmannian of $n$-planes in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ consisting of isotropic $n$-planes, i.e., the set of $P \in \text{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ such that $(u, v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in P$. It is a Kähler manifold isomorphic to the homogeneous space $SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{R})/U(n)$ [1].

There is a projection $\pi : \mathcal{Z}_n \to S^{2n}$ that can be defined as follows: given a subspace $P \in \mathcal{Z}_n$, define $\pi(P)$ as the unique real unit vector in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ such that \{\pi(P), P_1, P_2, P_3, P_1, P_2, P_3\} is a positively oriented basis of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$, where the set \{P_1, P_2, P_3\} is a basis of $P$. This map is a Riemannian submersion with the metric in $\mathcal{Z}_n$ induced by the standard metric of $\text{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$.

If $f : S^2 \to S^{2n}$ is harmonic and linearly full then its twistor lift is holomorphic, horizontal (i.e. its derivative is perpendicular to the fibers of $\pi$) and linearly full (in the sense explained in [16]); conversely, if $\psi : S^2 \to \mathcal{Z}_n$ is a holomorphic, horizontal and linearly full map, then $\pm \pi \circ \psi$ are harmonic. The degree of a holomorphic map $\psi$ from $S^2$ to $\mathcal{Z}_n$ is defined as the image under $\psi_*$ of $1 \in H_2(S^2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.
in $H_2(\mathcal{Z}_n, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Note that if $\psi$ is the twistor lift of $f \in \text{Harm}_d(S^2, S^{2n})$ then $\deg(\psi) = d$ [1].

If we let
\[
\text{HH}_d(S^2, \mathcal{Z}_n) = \{\text{Holomorphic, horizontal, full maps } \psi: S^2 \to \mathcal{Z}_n \text{ of degree } d\}
\]
and
\[
\text{Harm}_d(S^2, S^{2n}) = \{\pm \pi \circ \psi: \psi \in \text{HH}_d(S^2, \mathcal{Z}_n)\},
\]
then the last paragraph can be summarized by
\[
\text{Harm}_d(S^2, S^{2n}) = \text{Harm}_d^{+, \pm}(S^2, S^{2n}) \sqcup \text{Harm}_d^{-}(S^2, S^{2n}).
\]

In [10, 12, 14] birational maps $b_E: \mathbb{CP}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \to \mathcal{Z}_n$ were constructed which translated the problem of finding holomorphic, horizontal, linearly full maps into $\mathcal{Z}_n$ into finding solutions of a differential system in $\mathbb{CP}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$. More precisely, for the particular case $n = 3$, let $E = \{E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3, \bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2, \bar{E}_3\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{C}^7$ satisfying
\[
(E_0, E_r) = (E_0, \bar{E}_r) = (E_r, E_s) = (\bar{E}_r, \bar{E}_s) = 0, \quad \text{and } (E_r, \bar{E}_s) = \delta_{rs}, \quad r, s = 1, 2, 3.
\]
Define the birational map $b_E: \mathbb{CP}^6 \to \mathcal{Z}_3$ that takes
\[
[s: \alpha_1: \alpha_2: \alpha_3: \tau_{12}: \tau_{23}: \tau_{31}]
\]
to the 3-plane in $\mathbb{C}^3$ spanned by the vectors
\[
\frac{\alpha_\ell}{s} E_0 + E_\ell - \sum_{k=1}^3 \left(\frac{\alpha_\ell \alpha_k}{2s^2} + \frac{\tau_{\ell k}}{2s}\right) E_k, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq 3,
\]
where it is understood that $\tau_{ij} = -\tau_{ji}$.

Under this birational map the horizontality condition translates as follows [18, 10, 13, 14]. A map $\psi: S^2 \to \mathcal{Z}_3$ is holomorphic, horizontal and linearly full if and only if the map
\[
\hat{\psi} := b_E^{-1} \circ \psi = [s: \alpha_1: \alpha_2: \alpha_3: \tau_{12}: \tau_{23}: \tau_{31}]
\]
satisfies
\[
\alpha_i' \alpha_j - \alpha_i \alpha_j' = s \tau_{ij} - s' \tau_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 3,
\]
plus the open condition
\[
W\left(\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{s}\right)', \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{s}\right)', \left(\frac{\alpha_3}{s}\right)'ight) \neq 0,
\]
where $W$ denotes the Wronskian, and the dashes denote differentiation with respect to a holomorphic coordinate in $S^2$. In addition, the image of $\psi \in \text{HH}_d(S^2, \mathcal{Z}_3)$ misses the subspace generated by $\{\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2, \bar{E}_3\}$ if and only if $\hat{\psi}$ has degree exactly $d$. In other words, if we define $\text{PD}_d(S^2, \mathbb{CP}^6) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[z]_d)^7$ by
\[
\text{PD}_d(S^2, \mathbb{CP}^6) = \{\hat{\psi}: S^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^6 \text{ of degree } d \text{ satisfying (2.18) and (2.19)}\},
\]
then [10, Theorem 2]
\[
\left\{\psi \in \text{HH}_d(S^2, \mathcal{Z}_3): \text{span}_\mathbb{C}\left\{\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2, \bar{E}_3\right\} \not\subset \psi(S^2)\right\} \cong \text{PD}_d(S^2, \mathbb{CP}^6).
\]

Now let $\{i, j, k, e, ie, je, ke\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^7 \cong \text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ satisfying
\[
k = i \times j \quad ie = i \times e \quad je = j \times e \quad ke = k \times e
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\( E_0 = \epsilon \quad E_1 = \frac{i + i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \quad E_2 = \frac{j - ij\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \quad E_3 = \frac{k - i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \)
\( \bar{E}_1 = \frac{i - i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \bar{E}_2 = \frac{j + ij\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \bar{E}_3 = \frac{k + i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \).

Then the basis \( E \) satisfies the properties above. If we let

\[ \text{HH}_f^d(S^2, Z_3)_{Ac} = \{ \psi \in \text{HH}_f^d(S^2, Z_3) : \pi \circ \psi \text{ is almost complex} \} \]

\[ \{ \psi \in \text{HH}_f^d(S^2, Z_3)_{Ac} : \text{span}_C \{ E_1, E_2, E_3 \} \not\in \psi(S^2) \} \]
\[ \cong \{ \tilde{\psi} \in \text{PD}_f^d(S^2, \mathbb{CP}^6) : i\sqrt{2} \alpha_1 = \tau_{23} \} \].

This last statement immediately gives a lower bound on the dimension of the variety \( \text{Ac}_f^d(S^2, S^6) \) which will be used in Lemma 4.1 below.

We need one last observation regarding twistor lifts of maps \( f \in \text{Ac}_f^d(S^2, S^6) \). If \( \Xi : S^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^6 \) is the directrix curve of \( f \), and if \( \sigma_2 : S^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^{34} \) denotes the 2nd associated curve of \( \Xi \), then \( \sigma_2 = \text{Pl} \circ \psi \), where \( \text{Pl} : Z_3 \subset \text{Gr}(3, \mathbb{C}^7) \to \mathbb{CP}^{34} \) is the Plücker embedding, which has degree 2 [21]. Therefore

\[ \delta_2 = \text{deg}(\sigma_2) = 2 \text{deg}(\psi) \].

Using (2.15) this implies that if \( \Xi \) is the directrix curve of \( f \in \text{Ac}_f^d(S^2, S^6) \), then

\[ \text{deg}(\Xi) = d. \]

3. Cross products and congruence

In this section we state and prove some results that will be needed in the next sections and have an interest of their own. The first proposition gives a convenient criterion, in terms of cross products, to check whether a harmonic map from \( S^2 \) to \( S^6 \) is \((\pm)\)-almost complex (we call a map \( f \) \(\mp\)-almost complex’ if \(-f \) is almost complex). As a byproduct we obtain all the cross products of elements in the harmonic sequence of a \((\pm)\)-almost complex map. In the second proposition we show that if two almost complex maps are \( SO(7, \mathbb{C}) \)-congruent, then they are \( G_2(\mathbb{C}) \) congruent. Again, as a byproduct we obtain the cross products of the derivatives of the directrix curve of an almost complex map.

The proofs are computational in nature. We will make extensive use of the properties of the cross product given by (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4).

3.1. Cross products. This section is motivated by the following question: What is a simple property that characterizes twistor lifts of almost complex maps? In other words, \( \text{HH}_f^d(S^2, Z_3)_{Ac} \) is the subvariety of \( \text{HH}_f^d(S^2, Z_3) \) of maps that satisfy which condition? Such a condition, namely the vanishing of the torsion ‘III’, was found in [8, Theorem 4.7] (see also [7, Remark 4.1]). We find a slightly more general criterion here.
Proposition 3.1. Let $f : S^2 \rightarrow S^6$ be a linearly full harmonic map and let $z$ be a holomorphic coordinate in $S^2$. Then $f$ is $(\pm)$-almost complex (i.e. $f \times f_z = \pm f_z$) if and only if

$$\text{span}_\mathbb{C} \left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial z^3} \right\}$$

is closed under $\times$.

Proof. Since $f$ is harmonic, \( \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial z^3} \right) = 0, \) \( 0 \leq j, k \leq 2, \) so \( \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial z^3} \right\} \) is a totally isotropic subspace, and it has dimension 3 because $f$ is linearly full.

Let $f_k, -3 \leq k \leq 3$, be the harmonic sequence of $f$. Then \( \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial z^3} \right\} = \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ f_1, f_2, f_3 \}$.

If $f$ is $(\pm)$-almost complex, then it is of type (I) in the classification of almost complex curves in [7], so it satisfies (see equations (4.3), (4.4) and (5.1) of [7])

$$
\begin{align*}
  f \times f_1 &= \pm if_1 \\
  f \times f_2 &= \pm if_2 \\
  f \times f_3 &= \mp if_3
\end{align*}
$$

(3.1)

Differentiating (3.1) and using (2.6) it is easy to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
  f_1 \times f_2 &= \pm 2if_3, \\
  f_1 \times f_3 &= 0, \\
  f_2 \times f_3 &= 0,
\end{align*}
$$

which proves the ‘only if’ part of the lemma.

The converse is not difficult to prove but it is long. The idea is to use the properties of the cross product and of the harmonic sequence. Suppose that $f_j \times f_k \in \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ f_1, f_2, f_3 \}, 1 \leq j, k \leq 3$. Note that $f_1 \times f_2 \neq 0$ since otherwise, using (2.7) and (2.3),

$$
0 = f_0 \times \frac{\partial (f_1 \times f_2)}{\partial \bar{z}} = -\frac{|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^2} f_0 \times (f_0 \times f_2) = \frac{|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^2} f_2,
$$

which is not possible since $f_1, f_2 \neq 0$. Write $f_1 \times f_2 = a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + a_3 f_3$. Then (2.3) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
  0 &= f_1 \times (f_1 \times f_2) = a_2 f_1 \times f_2 + a_3 f_1 \times f_3 \\
  0 &= f_2 \times (f_1 \times f_2) = -a_1 f_1 \times f_2 + a_3 f_2 \times f_3
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f_1 \times f_2 \neq 0, a_3 \neq 0$. Therefore, writing

$$
H := f_1 \times f_2, \quad d_{13} := -\frac{a_2}{a_3}, \quad d_{23} := \frac{a_1}{a_3}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
  f_1 \times f_3 &= d_{13} H \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 \times f_3 = d_{23} H.
\end{align*}
$$

(3.2)

Now use (2.7) to find

$$
\frac{\partial d_{23}}{\partial \bar{z}} H + d_{23} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial (f_2 \times f_3)}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{|f_2|^2}{|f_1|^2} f_1 \times f_3 = -\frac{|f_2|^2}{|f_1|^2} d_{13} H,
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
  d_{23} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{z}} &= -\left( \frac{\partial d_{23}}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{|f_2|^2}{|f_1|^2} d_{13} \right) H
\end{align*}
$$

(3.3)
Similarly,

\[ \frac{\partial d_{13}}{\partial \bar{z}} H + d_{13} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial (f_1 \times f_3)}{\partial \bar{z}} = -\frac{|f_1|^2 |f_0|^2 f_0 \times f_3 - |f_3|^2 |f_2|^2}{|f_0|^2} H \]

and

\[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial (f_1 \times f_2)}{\partial \bar{z}} = -\frac{|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^2} f_0 \times f_2. \]

Therefore

\[ d_{23} f_0 \times f_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{H} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{23} f_0 \times f_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{H}, \]

so cross-multiplying these equations by \( f_0 \) and using (2.3) we obtain

\[ \bar{d}_{23} f_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{f_0 \times H} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{23} f_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{f_0 \times H}, \]

which implies \( d_{23} = 0 \) since \( f_2 \) and \( f_3 \) are linearly independent, and then equation (3.3) implies \( d_{13} = 0 \). Therefore \( a_1 = a_2 = 0 \), and using (3.2), (3.4) and the fact that \( |f_0| = 1 \), we have

\[ f_1 \times f_2 = a_3 f_3, \quad f_1 \times f_3 = 0, \quad f_2 \times f_3 = 0, \quad f_0 \times f_3 = -\frac{|f_3|^2}{|f_1|^2 |f_2|^2} a_3 f_3. \]

Next, use (2.3) to find

\[ -f_3 = f_0 \times (f_0 \times f_3) = \left( \frac{|f_3|^2}{|f_1|^2 |f_2|^2} \right)^2 a_3^2 f_3, \]

which implies \( a_3 = \bar{h} \frac{|f_1|^2 |f_2|^2}{|f_3|^2} \), with \( \bar{h} = \pm i \). Therefore, so far we have

\[ f_1 \times f_2 = h \frac{|f_1|^2 |f_2|^2}{|f_3|^2} f_3, \quad f_1 \times f_3 = 0, \quad f_2 \times f_3 = 0, \quad f_0 \times f_3 = -h f_3, \]

and using (2.8),

\[ f_{-1} \times f_{-2} = -h f_{-3}, \quad f_{-1} \times f_{-3} = 0, \quad f_{-2} \times f_{-3} = 0, \quad f_0 \times f_{-3} = h f_{-3}. \]

Now, (2.2) implies

\[ -2f_0 = f_{-3} \times (f_0 \times f_3) + (f_{-3} \times f_0) \times f_3 = -2hf_{-3} \times f_3, \]

and therefore \( f_{-3} \times f_3 = -h f_0 \). Differentiate and use (2.7) to obtain

\[ \bar{h} \frac{|f_0|^2}{|f_{-1}|^2} f_{-1} = -\bar{h} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial (f_{-3} \times f_3)}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{|f_3|^2}{|f_2|^2} f_{-3} \times f_2, \]

then use (2.9) to find \( f_{-3} \times f_2 = \bar{h} \frac{|f_1|^2 |f_2|^2}{|f_3|^2} f_{-1} \), and use (2.8) to find \( f_3 \times f_{-2} = h f_1 \). Also,

\[ 0 = f_{-2} \times (f_{3} \times f_{-2}) = h f_{-2} \times f_1, \]

and therefore \( f_{-2} \times f_1 = f_2 \times f_{-1} = 0. \)

Next, use (2.2) to obtain

\[ 2f_{-3} = f_{-2} \times (f_{-3} \times f_2) + (f_{-2} \times f_{-3}) \times f_2 = h \frac{|f_1|^2 |f_2|^2}{|f_3|^2} f_{-1} \times f_{-2} = -h^2 \frac{|f_1|^2 |f_2|^2}{|f_3|^2} f_{-3}. \]

This implies

\[ \frac{|f_1|^2 |f_2|^2}{|f_3|^2} = 2, \]

and therefore \( H := f_1 \times f_2 = 2hf_3. \)
Finally, equation (3.5) gives \( f_0 \times f_2 = hf_2 \), and differentiating,
\[
-\frac{|f_0|^2}{|f_1|^2} f_1 \times f_2 - \frac{|f_2|^2}{|f_1|^2} f_0 \times f_1 = \frac{\partial (f_0 \times f_2)}{\partial \xi} = -ih \frac{|f_2|^2}{|f_1|^2} f_1,
\]
which implies \( f_0 \times f_1 = h f_1 = \pm if_1 \), as desired.

The following condition will be very useful when we compute examples in Section 5.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( \Xi : S^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^6 \) be a linearly full holomorphic map and let \( \xi(z) \) be a local holomorphic representation of \( \Xi \). Then \( \Xi \) is the directrix curve of an almost complex map \( f : S^2 \to S^6 \) if and only if \( \xi \times \xi' = 0 \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \Xi \) is the directrix curve of an almost complex map \( f : S^2 \to S^6 \), and let \( \{ f_{-3}, f_{-2}, f_{-1}, f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 \} \) be its harmonic sequence. If \( \xi \) is any local representation of \( \Xi \), then \( \xi \) is a multiple of \( f_{-3} \), and \( \xi' \) is a linear combination of \( f_{-3} \) and \( f_{-2} \). Therefore \( \xi \times \xi' \) is a multiple of \( f_{-3} \times f_{-2} \), which was computed to be 0 in the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Conversely, suppose that \( \xi \times \xi' = 0 \) for a given local holomorphic representation \( \xi \). Since \( \Xi \) is holomorphic, the same will be true for any local holomorphic representation, so we can assume that \( \xi \times \xi' = 0 \) for every local holomorphic representation \( \xi \). Differentiating we obtain \( \xi \times \xi'' = 0 \). Then we can use equation (2.3) to obtain
\[
0 = \xi \times (\xi \times \xi') = (\xi, \xi') (\xi - (\xi, \xi') \xi' \\
0 = \xi'' \times (\xi \times \xi'') = -((\xi, \xi'') \xi'' + (\xi'', \xi'') \xi) \\
0 = \xi \times (\xi \times \xi'') = (\xi, \xi') \xi'' + (\xi'', \xi') \xi.
\]
Since \( \xi \) is linearly full, \( \xi, \xi' \) and \( \xi'' \) are linearly independent except at a few points, and therefore \( (\xi^{(i)} \xi^{(j)}) = 0 \), for \( i = 0, 1, 2 \). Differentiating these expressions we find
\[
(\xi^{(i)} \xi^{(j)}) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < j \leq 3
\]
which implies that \( \Xi \) is totally isotropic. Therefore \( \Xi \) is the directrix curve of some harmonic map \( f : S^2 \to S^6 \) [1]. Note that, by the definition and properties of the directrix curve,
\[
\text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ \xi, \xi', \xi'' \} = \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial z^3} \right\} = \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial z^3} \right\}
\]
so in view of Proposition 3.1 it suffices to show that \( \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ \xi, \xi', \xi'' \} \) is closed under \( \times \). Since \( \xi \times \xi' = \xi \times \xi'' = 0 \), it only remains to show that \( \xi' \times \xi'' \in \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ \xi, \xi', \xi'' \} \).

To this end, note that equations (2.4), (2.3) and (3.7) imply
\[
(\xi, \xi' \times \xi'') = (\xi, \xi' ) \times \xi'' = (\xi'', \xi' \times \xi'') = 0
\]
and
\[
(\xi' \times \xi', \xi' \times \xi'') = (\xi', \xi' \times \xi'') = (\xi'', \xi' \times \xi'') = 0
\]
which implies that \( \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ \xi, \xi', \xi', \xi' \times \xi'' \} \) is a totally isotropic subspace of \( \mathbb{C}^7 \), and as such it must have dimension at most 3. Since \( \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ \xi, \xi', \xi'' \} \) has dimension 3, it follows that \( \xi' \times \xi'' \in \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ \xi, \xi', \xi'' \} \).
Most of the cross products of elements of the harmonic sequence were computed in Proposition 3.1. For future use, we find the remaining ones in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( \{ f_{-3}, f_{-2}, f_{-1}, f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 \} \) be the harmonic sequence of \( f \in \text{AC}_d^2(S^2, S^6) \). Then the table of cross products \( (f_i \times f_j)_{ij} \) is given by

\[
\begin{array}{c|cccccc}
 & f_{-3} & f_{-2} & f_{-1} & f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \\
\hline
f_{-3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i f_{-3} & -2 i f_{-2} & -2 i f_{-1} & -i f_0 \\
f_{-2} & 0 & 0 & i f_{-3} & i f_{-2} & 0 & -i f_0 & -i f_1 \\
f_{-1} & 0 & -i f_{-3} & 0 & i f_{-1} & i f_0 & 0 & -i f_2 \\
f_0 & i f_{-3} & -i f_{-2} & -i f_{-1} & 0 & i f_1 & i f_2 & -i f_3 \\
f_1 & 2 i f_{-2} & 0 & -i f_0 & -i f_1 & 0 & 2 i f_3 & 0 \\
f_2 & 2 i f_{-1} & i f_0 & 0 & -i f_2 & -2 i f_3 & 0 & 0 \\
f_3 & i f_0 & i f_1 & i f_2 & i f_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

*Proof.* Many of the cross products were found in the proof of Proposition 3.1. We find the remaining ones here. Use formulas (2.7) and (2.9) to find

\[-\frac{|f_2|^2}{|f_1|^2} f_{-3} \times f_1 = \frac{\partial (f_{-3} \times f_2)}{\partial \bar{z}} = -2 i \frac{\partial f_{-1}}{\partial \bar{z}} = 2 i \frac{|f_{-1}|^2}{|f_{-2}|^2} f_{-2},\]

which gives \( f_{-3} \times f_1 = -2 i f_{-2} \). Then use (2.8) to find

\[|f_{-2}|^2 |f_1|^2 f_3 \times f_{-1} = f_{-1} \times f_3 = 2 i f_{-2} = 2 i |f_{-2}| f_2.\]

Using (2.9) and (3.6) we obtain \( f_{-2} \times f_3 = -i f_2, \ f_{-2} \times f_0 = i f_{-2}, \ f_{-1} \times f_0 = i f_{-1}. \)

To find \( f_{-1} \times f_1 \), differentiate \( f_0 \times f_1 = i f_1 \) and use (2.7) to obtain

\[-\frac{|f_0|^2}{|f_{-1}|^2} f_{-1} \times f_1 = \frac{\partial (f_0 \times f_1)}{\partial \bar{z}} = i \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{z}} = -i \frac{|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^2} f_0\]

which, using (2.9), gives \( f_{-1} \times f_1 = i f_0 \). Finally, differentiate \( f_{-2} \times f_1 = 0 \) and use (2.9) to find \( f_{-2} \times f_2 = -i f_0 \).

\[\square\]

3.2. **Congruence.** The motivation is the following: if the directrix curves of two linearly full harmonic maps from \( S^2 \) to \( S^6 \) are \( SO(7, \mathbb{C}) \)-congruent, then they certainly have the same singularity type. Moreover, the set of directrix curves of linearly full harmonic maps from \( S^2 \) to \( S^6 \) with a given singularity type is a finite union of \( SO(7, \mathbb{C}) \) orbits [4]. Is this also true when we substitute ‘harmonic’ with ‘almost complex’ and ‘\( SO(7, \mathbb{C}) \)’ with ‘\( G_2(\mathbb{C}) \)’?

This fact will be implied by the following: does \( SO(7, \mathbb{C}) \)-congruence of twistor lifts of almost complex maps imply \( G_2(\mathbb{C}) \)-congruence? Intuitively it seems that this should be true. On the one hand its real counterpart is clearly true in view of Proposition 3.1. On the other hand, if \( g \in SO(7, \mathbb{C}) \) and \( \psi \) is the twistor lift of an almost complex map with directrix curve expressed locally by \( [\xi] \), then \( [g \xi] \) is the directrix of the almost complex map whose twistor lift is \( g \psi \), and it is easy to see that, if \( \xi \) is suitably normalized,

\[\xi' \times \xi'' = i \xi \quad \text{and} \quad (g \xi') \times (g \xi'') = i (g \xi) = g (\xi' \times \xi'').\]

This implies that \( g \) preserves all the cross products of the form \( \xi'(p) \times \xi''(p), \ p \in S^2 \), which should include all possible cross products within a basis of \( \mathbb{C}^7 \).
This heuristic idea is not easy to translate rigorously. Instead, we prove this
fact by calculating the cross products of the derivatives, up to order 6, of the
directrix curve. The proof is, surprisingly, an easy but lengthy com-
putation using

Lemma 3.4. Let \( f_p \), \( -3 \leq p \leq 3 \), be the harmonic sequence of a map \( f \in \text{Ac}^d(S^2, S^6) \), and let \( \xi \equiv f_{-3} \). Then the table of the bilinear products \( (\xi(i), \xi(j))_{ij} \)
of the derivatives of \( \xi \) has the form

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
( , ) & \xi & \xi' & \xi'' & \xi''' & \xi^{(4)} & \xi^{(5)} & \xi^{(6)} \\
\xi & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
\xi' & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\xi'' & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2b_{44} & 0 \\
\xi''' & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2b_{44} & -3b_{44}' \\
\xi^{(4)} & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2b_{44} & b_{44}' & 2b_{46} \\
\xi^{(5)} & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2b_{44} & b_{44}' & 2b_{55} & b_{55}' \\
\xi^{(6)} & -1 & 0 & 2b_{44} & -3b_{44}' & 2b_{46} & b_{55}' & 2b_{66} \\
\end{array}
\]

(3.8)

where \( b_{ij} = (\xi(i), \xi(j))/2 \), and the table of cross products \( (\xi(i) \times \xi(j))_{ij} \) has the form

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\times & \xi & \xi' & \xi'' & \xi''' & \xi^{(4)} & \xi^{(5)} & \xi^{(6)} \\
\xi & 0 & 0 & -i\xi & -2i\xi' & C_{05} & C_{06} & \xi^{(6)} \\
\xi' & 0 & 0 & i\xi & i\xi' & -i\xi & 2b_{44} & \xi^{(5)} \\
\xi'' & 0 & -i\xi & 0 & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\
\xi''' & i\xi & -i\xi' & -C_{23} & 0 & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\
\xi^{(4)} & 2i\xi' & ib_{44}\xi & -C_{24} & -C_{34} & 0 & C_{45} & C_{46} \\
\xi^{(5)} & -C_{05} & -C_{15} & -C_{25} & -C_{35} & -C_{45} & 0 & C_{56} \\
\xi^{(6)} & -C_{06} & -C_{16} & -C_{26} & -C_{36} & -C_{46} & -C_{56} & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( C^{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L^{ij}_k \xi^{(k)} \), and the complex functions \( L^{ij}_k \) depend only on the pro-
ducts \( b_{ij} \) and their derivatives. (Although we explain below how to find the
\( C^{ij} \), we omit their explicit formulas as they are not relevant for the remainder of the paper.)

Proof. First notice that if \( \{f_{-3}, f_{-2}, f_{-1}, f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3\} \) is the harmonic sequence of the almost complex map \( f \), then

\[
\xi(i) \equiv f_{-3} \mod (f_{-3}, f_{-2}, \ldots, f_{i-3}).
\]

(3.9)

Using (2.10), this implies that \( (\xi(i), \xi(j)) = 0 \) if \( i + j < 6 \) and \( (\xi(i), \xi(j)) = (-1)^{i+j} \)
if \( i + j = 6 \). Then notice that \( 0 = (\xi(i), \xi(j))' = 2(\xi(i), \xi(j)) \), and use the formula
(\( (\xi(i), \xi(j))' = (\xi^{(i+1)}, \xi^{(j+1)}) \)) to find the remaining bilinear products.

To find the cross product table, notice that if \( (\xi(i) \times \xi^{(i+1)}) \) is known for \( 0 \leq i \leq k \),
then \( \xi(i) \times \xi^{(j)} \) can be easily found, for \( 0 \leq i + j \leq 2k + 2 \), using the formula

\[
(\xi(i) \times \xi^{(j)})' = \xi^{(i+1)} \times \xi^{(j)} + \xi(i) \times \xi^{(j+1)}.
\]

Hence it suffices to find \( \xi(i) \times \xi^{(i+1)} \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq 5 \).

Lemma 3.3 and equation (3.9) imply that \( \xi \times \xi' = \xi \times \xi'' = 0 \), \( \xi' \times \xi'' = i\xi \),
\( \xi' \times \xi''' = i\xi' \), \( \xi \times \xi''' = -i\xi \), and \( L^{23}_k = 0 \) for \( k \geq 3 \). Therefore,

\[
\xi'' \times \xi''' = L^{23}_0 + L^{23}_1 \xi' + L^{23}_2 \xi''.
\]
Use (2.3), (2.2), and (3.8) to find
\[
0 = \xi'' \times (\xi'' \times \xi'''') = -L^2_1 \xi' \times \xi'' = -iL^2_1 \xi
\]
\[
0 = \xi' \times (\xi'' \times \xi''') + (\xi' \times \xi'') \times \xi''' = L^2_2 \xi' \times \xi'' + i\xi \times \xi''' = iL^2_2 \xi + \xi
\]
which gives \(L^2_1 = 0\), \(L^2_2 = i\). On the other hand,
\[
i\xi''' = (\xi' \times \xi''')' = \xi'' \times \xi''' + \xi' \times \xi''
\]
and therefore \(\xi' \times \xi'' = -L^2_0 \xi\). Hence, using (2.2) and (3.8) again, we obtain
\[
2b_{44} \xi' = (\xi' \times \xi'(4)) = L^2_0 \xi \times \xi'(4) = -2iL^2_0 \xi'
\]
since \(\xi \times \xi'(4) = (\xi \times \xi'')' - \xi' \times \xi''' = -2i\xi';\) this gives \(L^2_0 = ib_{44}\), and therefore
\[
\xi'' \times \xi''' = ib_{44} \xi + i\xi'''
\]
which, differentiating and using (2.14) gives \(\xi'' \times \xi'(4) = ib_{44} \xi + ib_{44} \xi + i\xi''\) and \(\xi' \times \xi'(4) = -ib_{44} \xi\). To find \(\xi''' \times \xi'(4) = \sum_{k=0}^{4} L^2_0 \xi(k)\), proceed similarly to obtain
\[
0 = \xi \times (\xi'' \times \xi'(4)) + (\xi \times \xi''') \times \xi'(4) = -iL^2_4 \xi - 2iL^3_4 \xi' - 2\xi',
\]
\[
0 = \xi' \times (\xi'' \times \xi'(4)) + (\xi' \times \xi''') \times \xi'(4) = iL^2_4 \xi + iL^3_4 \xi' - ib_{44}L^2_4 \xi + b_{44} \xi
\]
\[
-\xi'(4) = \xi''' \times (\xi'' \times \xi'(4)) = iL^2_0 \xi - iL^3_4 \xi' - L^2_4 (ib_{44} \xi + i\xi'')
\]
\[
+ L^3_4 (L^2_0 \xi + L^3_4 \xi' + L^2_2 \xi'' + L^3_4 \xi''' + L^2_4 \xi(4))
\]
\[
2b_{44} \xi'' = \xi(4) \times (\xi'' \times \xi'(4)) = 2iL^2_0 \xi' + ib_{44}L^2_4 \xi - L^2_4 (ib_{44} \xi + i\xi'') + i\xi''' + L^2_4 \xi(4)
\]
which leads to
\[
\xi''' \times \xi'(4) = ib_{44} \xi + 2ib_{44} \xi' + 2ib_{44} \xi'' + i\xi'''
\]
To find \(\xi'(4) \times \xi(5) = \sum_{k=0}^{6} L^2_0 \xi(k)\), it is easier to use (2.4) as follows:
\[
-L^2_4 = (\xi, \xi \times \xi(5)) = (\xi(5), \xi \times \xi(4)) = -2i, \quad \text{so} \quad L^2_4 = 2i.
\]
\[
L^2_5 = (\xi', \xi' \times \xi(5)) = (\xi(5), \xi' \times \xi(4)) = 0, \quad \text{so} \quad L^2_5 = 0.
\]
\[
-L_{14}^4 + 4b_{14}i = (\xi'', \xi \times \xi(5)) = (\xi(5), \xi'' \times \xi(4)) = ib_{44} - 2ib_{44}, \quad \text{so} \quad L_{14}^4 = 5ib_{44}.
\]
\[
L_{14}^5 - 6ib_{14}i = (\xi''', \xi \times \xi(5)) = (\xi(5), \xi''' \times \xi(4)) = 2ib_{44} + ib_{44}, \quad \text{so} \quad L_{14}^5 = 9ib_{44}.
\]
\[
-L_{25}^4 + 10ib_{25}^4 + 4ib_{46} = (\xi(4), \xi \times \xi(5)) = 0, \quad \text{so} \quad L_{25}^4 = 2i(5b_{44}^2 + 2b_{46}).
\]
\[
L_{14}^5 - 13ib_{44}b_{14} + 2ib_{55}^4 = (\xi(5), \xi \times \xi(5)) = 0, \quad \text{so} \quad L_{14}^6 = i(13b_{44}b_{14} - 2b_{55}).
\]
Finding \(L_{14}^5\) is trickier: first find \(\xi''' \times \xi''(4) = (\xi'' \times \xi(4))' - \xi' \times \xi(5)\) and then calculate \(\xi(5) \times \xi'(4)\) as above. The result is
\[
\xi(4) \times \xi(5) = i(-3b_{44}^3 + 2b_{60} - 7b_{44}^2 + 11b_{44}b_{44}^2)\xi + i(7b_{44}b_{44}^2 + 2b_{14}b_{44}^2)\xi'
\]
\[
+ 2i(2b_{44}^2 + 3b_{44})\xi'' + 9ib_{44}b_{44}'' + 5ib_{44}b_{44}''' + 2i\xi'''.
\]
Finally, finding \(\xi(5) \times \xi(6)\) is easy: compute \(\xi(5) \times (\xi(4) \times \xi(5))\) and solve for \(\xi(5) \times \xi(6)\). The long result is
\[
\xi(5) \times \xi(6) = -i(11b_{14} - 6b_{20} + 19b_{14}b_{44}^2 - 32b_{44}b_{44}^2 - 3b_{44}^2 + 2b_{14}b_{44}^2)\xi
\]
\[
+i(15b_{44}^2b_{44} + 9b_{44}b_{44}^2 + 3b_{44}b_{44}^2)\xi' + i(11b_{20}^2 - 2b_{60} + 25b_{44}^2 + b_{44}b_{44}^2)\xi''
\]
\[
+ i(28b_{44}b_{44}^2 - b_{44}b_{44}^2 + i(11b_{14}^2 + b_{14}^2)\xi(4) + 5ib_{14}b_{14}^2 + 5ib_{14}b_{14}^2).
\]
This result—namely that the cross products of the derivatives of the directrix curve are completely determined by their bilinear products—has the following immediate consequence.

**Proposition 3.5.** If $\psi, \chi \in HH_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\text{Ac}}$ and $\chi = g\psi$, where $g \in SO(7, \mathbb{C})$, then $g \in G_2(\mathbb{C})$.

**Proof.** Let $\{f_i\}_{i=-3}^{3}$ and $\{g_i\}_{i=-3}^{3}$ be the harmonic sequences of $\pi \circ \psi$ and $\pi \circ \chi$, respectively (see Section 2), and let $\xi := f_{-3}$ and $\zeta := g_{-3}$. Then $\zeta = g\xi$. Write $\xi^{(i)} \times \xi^{(j)} = \sum_{k=0}^{6} L_k^{ij} \xi^{(k)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \times \zeta^{(j)} = \sum_{k=0}^{6} M_k^{ij} \zeta^{(k)}$. Then Lemma 3.4 implies that the $L_k^{ij}$ and the $M_k^{ij}$ depend only on the products $(\xi^{(i)}, \xi^{(j)})$ and $(\zeta^{(i)}, \zeta^{(j)})$. Since $\zeta^{(i)} = g\xi^{(i)}$, $i \geq 0$, and $g$ is in $SO(7, \mathbb{C})$, $(\xi^{(i)}, \xi^{(j)}) = (\zeta^{(i)}, \zeta^{(j)})$ for all $i, j \geq 0$, and therefore $L_k^{ij} = M_k^{ij}$ for $0 \leq i, j, k \leq 6$. Hence

$$g\xi^{(i)} \times g\xi^{(j)} = \zeta^{(i)} \times \zeta^{(j)} = \sum_{k=0}^{6} M_k^{ij} \zeta^{(k)} = \sum_{k=0}^{6} L_k^{ij} g\xi^{(k)} = g(\xi^{(i)} \times \xi^{(j)}).$$

Since $\xi$ is linearly full, this implies that $g$ preserves all the pairwise cross products of a basis, and therefore is in $G_2(\mathbb{C})$. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 3.6.** If nonempty, $HH_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\text{Ac}}$ is isomorphic to $G_2(\mathbb{C})$.

**Proof.** Since $G_2(\mathbb{C})$ preserves cross products, Proposition 3.1 implies that $G_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $HH_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\text{Ac}}$. This action is free since $HH_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\text{Ac}}$ consists of linearly full maps. On the other hand, any two elements of $HH_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\text{Ac}}$ are $SO(7, \mathbb{C})$-congruent [1], and therefore $G_2(\mathbb{C})$-congruent by Proposition 3.5. Hence $G_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts simply transitively on $HH_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\text{Ac}}$, and therefore these spaces are isomorphic. \hfill \Box

### 4. Dimension

From Section 2 we know that

$$\text{Ac}_d(S^2, S^6) = \text{Ac}_d^{(2)}(S^2, S^6) \sqcup \text{Ac}_d^f(S^2, S^6)$$

(disjoint union).

Using the tools from the previous sections, we will now find the dimension of each one of the components.

#### 4.1. Linearly full maps.

Recall [16] that $\text{Harm}_d^f(S^2, S^6)$ has two disconnected components, denoted $\text{Harm}_d^{f,+}(S^2, S^6)$ and $\text{Harm}_d^{f,-}(S^2, S^6)$. Since the varieties $\text{Harm}_d^{f,+}(S^2, S^6)$ and $\text{Harm}_d^{f,-}(S^2, S^6)$ are isomorphic as sets [1], we transfer the algebraic structure of $HH_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ to $\text{Harm}_d^{f,+}(S^2, S^6)$ making these two sets algebraically isomorphic. Similarly, since $\text{Ac}_d^f(S^2, S^6) \subset \text{Harm}_d^{f,+}(S^2, S^6)$, we assume throughout that $\text{Ac}_d^f(S^2, S^6)$ is algebraically isomorphic to $HH_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\text{Ac}}$ by transferring the algebraic structure of the latter to the former via the isomorphism above. Therefore, to find the dimension of $\text{Ac}_d^f(S^2, S^6)$ we only need to find the dimension of $HH_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\text{Ac}}$. It is very easy to get a lower bound, as follows.

**Lemma 4.1.** If nonempty, the dimension of $\text{Ac}_d^f(S^2, S^6)$ is at least $d + 8$. 


Proof. Use (2.21): The dimension of the variety $\text{PD}_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{CP}^d)$ is $2d + 9$ [13, 14]. Since $\alpha_1$ and $\tau_{23}$ are polynomials of degree at most $d$, the condition $i\sqrt{2}\alpha_1 = \tau_{23}$ imposes $d + 1$ additional (not necessarily independent) equations. Therefore, the left hand side of (2.21), which is an open subset of $\text{HH}_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\Lambda_{\text{C}}}$, has dimension greater than or equal to $d + 8$. Hence, if $\text{HH}_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\Lambda_{\text{C}}}$ is not empty, its dimension must be at least $d + 8$. 

To find an upper bound we use the following idea, which appears at the end of [4]. Every harmonic map from $S^2$ to $S^6$ is determined, modulo $SO(7, \mathbb{C})$ and a finite number of choices, by its singularity type [2]. Thus, up to the action of $SO(7, \mathbb{C})$ and a finite group, every element of $\text{Harm}_d^f(S^2, S^6)$ is determined by $r_0 + r_1 + r_2$ complex numbers, where $r_0, r_1, r_2$ satisfy $d - 12 = r_0 + 2r_1 + 3r_3$ (see equations (2.12) and (2.22)). The maximum of $r_0 + r_1 + r_2$ is then achieved when $r_1 = r_2 = 0, r_0 = 2d - 12$. Since the dimension of $SO(7, \mathbb{C})$ is 21, the dimension of $\text{Harm}_d^f(S^2, S^6)$ should therefore be $2d - 12 + 21 = 2d + 9$, which is correct.

The same idea was suggested by Bolton for the almost complex case: if $\Xi$ is the directrix curve of $f \in \Lambda_{\text{C}}_d(S^2, S^6)$ then, using (2.22), equation (2.17) reads

$$d - 6 = 2r_0 + r_1,$$

where $r_0$ and $r_1$ are the total ramification degrees of $\Xi$ and the first associated curve of $\Xi$, respectively. Hence, assuming that every element of $\Lambda_{\text{C}}_d(S^2, S^6)$ is determined, modulo $G_2(\mathbb{C})$ and a finite number of choices, by its singularity type, then we have $r_0 + r_1$ complex parameters, where $r_0, r_1$ satisfy (4.1). The maximum of $r_0 + r_1$ is then attained when $r_0 = 0$, $r_1 = d - 6$. Since the dimension of $G_2(\mathbb{C})$ is 14, the dimension of $\Lambda_{\text{C}}_d(S^2, S^6)$ should be $d - 6 + 14 = d + 8$. We will now make this idea more rigorous.

If $\psi \in \text{HH}_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\Lambda_{\text{C}}}$, let $\Xi^\psi: S^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^d$ denote the directrix curve of $f = \pi \circ \psi$. Note that $\Xi^\psi$ is the only curve such that $\xi, \xi', \xi'' \in \psi$, where $\xi$ is a local representation of $\Xi^\psi$. This implies that the map that takes $\psi$ to $\Xi^\psi$ is algebraic; it is in fact an isomorphism, but we do not need it here.

Let

$$\Sigma_{d_0} = \{ (z_{01}, \ldots, z_{0d_0}) \in (S^2)^{d_0} \mid z_{0j} \neq z_{0k}, 1 \leq j < k \leq d_0 \}$$
$$\Sigma_{d_1} = \{ (z_{11}, \ldots, z_{1d_1}) \in (S^2)^{d_1} \mid z_{1j} \neq z_{1k}, 1 \leq j < k \leq d_1 \}$$

and let $\Sigma_{d_0, d_1} = \Sigma_{d_0} \times \Sigma_{d_1}$. Let $m_0 = (m_{01}, \ldots, m_{0d_0})$ and $m_1 = (m_{11}, \ldots, m_{1d_1})$, where the $m_{ij}$ are positive integers satisfying

$$(4.2) \quad 2(m_{01} + \cdots + m_{0d_0}) + m_{11} + \cdots + m_{1d_1} = d - 6.$$ 

Consider the subsets of $\Sigma_{d_0, d_1} \times \text{HH}_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\Lambda_{\text{C}}}$ given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{m_0, m_1} = \left\{ (z_{01}, \ldots, z_{0d_0}, z_{11}, \ldots, z_{1d_1}, \psi) \in \Sigma_{d_0, d_1} \times \text{HH}_d^f(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\Lambda_{\text{C}}} : \right\}$$

for any local representations $\sigma_0^\psi$ and $\sigma_1^\psi$ of the zeroth and first associated curves $\sigma_0^\psi$ and $\sigma_1^\psi$ of $\Xi^\psi$, respectively, and where the parenthesis $( )_0$ denotes the divisor of
zeros, and \( z \) is any holomorphic coordinate in \( S^2 \). Since the maps \( \psi \to \Xi^\psi \) and \( \Xi^\psi \to \sigma^\psi_j \) are both algebraic, \( \mathcal{H}_{m_0, m_1} \) is an algebraic subvariety of \( \Sigma_{d_0, d_1} \times \HH^f_d(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\lambda_C} \).

If \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \) denote the projections over the 1st and 2nd factors of \( \Sigma_{d_0, d_1} \times \HH^f_d(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\lambda_C} \), note that \( \pi_2(\mathcal{H}_{m_0, m_1}) \) is the variety (actually, it is just a constructible set) of maps \( \psi \in \HH^f_d(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\lambda_C} \) such that the zeroth associated curve of \( \Xi^\psi \) has \( d_0 \) singularities of orders \( m_{01}, \ldots, m_{0d_0} \), and the first associated curve of \( \Xi^\psi \) has \( d_1 \) singularities of orders \( m_{11}, \ldots, m_{0d_1} \). Therefore

\[
\HH^f_d(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\lambda_C} = \bigcup_{m_0, m_1} \pi_2(\mathcal{H}_{m_0, m_1})
\]

where \( m_0, m_1 \) satisfy (4.2), so the union is finite. Hence the dimension of the variety \( \HH^f_d(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\lambda_C} \) is the maximum of the dimensions of the \( \pi_2(\mathcal{H}_{m_0, m_1}) \).

**Theorem 4.2.** When nonempty, the (pure) dimension of \( \mathcal{A}c_d^f(S^2, S^6) \) is \( d + 8 \).

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 4.1 and the paragraph before it, we only need to prove that the dimension of \( \HH^f_d(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\lambda_C} \) is at most \( d + 8 \). First we find the dimension of \( \mathcal{H}_{m_0, m_1} \). The dimension of \( \pi_1(\mathcal{H}_{m_0, m_1}) \subset \Sigma_{d_0, d_1} \) is at most \( d_0 + d_1 \). Each fiber of \( \pi_1 \) is isomorphic to the set of maps \( \psi \in \HH^f_d(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3)_{\lambda_C} \) such that \( \Xi^\psi \) has a given singularity type. Since the set of maps \( \psi \in \HH^f_d(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_3) \) with a given singularity type is a finite union of \( SO(7, \mathbb{C}) \) orbits [2], Lemma 3.5 implies that the fiber of \( \pi_1 \) is a finite union of \( G_2(\mathbb{C}) \)-orbits, and therefore has dimension 14. Hence the dimension of \( \mathcal{H}_{m_0, m_1} \) is at most \( d_0 + d_1 + 14 \). On the other hand, the fiber of \( \pi_2 \) consists of all the permutations of the \( z_{01} \) and \( z_{11} \), so it is finite, and therefore the dimension of \( \pi_2(\mathcal{H}_{m_0, m_1}) \) is at most \( d_0 + d_1 + 14 \).

The maximum of \( d_0 + d_1 + 14 \) subject to the condition (4.2) happens when \( d_0 = 0 \) and all the \( m_{1j}, 1 \leq j \leq d_1 \), are 1. In this case, \( d_1 = d - 6 \), so \( d_0 + d_1 + 14 = d + 8 \), as desired.

\[ \square \]

**4.2. Non-linearly full maps.** As explained in Section 2, if \( \tilde{f} \in \text{Hol}_d(S^2, S^2) \) and \( h : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{O} \) is a homomorphism of algebras, then \( h \circ \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{A}c_d^f(S^2, S^6) \), where \( \text{Hol}_d(S^2, S^2) \) denotes the variety of holomorphic maps of degree \( d \) from \( S^2 \subset \text{Im}(\mathbb{H}) \) to itself. It is easy to see that all the elements of \( \mathcal{A}c_d^f(S^2, S^6) \) have this form: let \( f \in \mathcal{A}c_d^f(S^2, S^6) \), and let \( V_f \) be the smallest subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^7 \) containing the image of \( f \). Then, if \( z = x + iy \) is a holomorphic coordinate in \( S^2 \), using subindices to denote derivatives, we have

\[ V_f = \text{span}_\mathbb{R} \{ f, f_x, f_y \}. \]

Since

\[ f \times f_x = f_y, \quad f \times f_y = -f_x, \quad \text{and} \quad f_x \times f_y = f_x \times (f \times f_x) = (f_x, f_x) f - (f_x, f) f_x, \]

the subspace \( V_f \subset \text{Im}(\mathbb{O}) \) is closed under \( \times \) and therefore there is an isomorphism of algebras \( h : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R} \cdot 1 \oplus V_f \subset \mathbb{O} \). Then \( \tilde{f} = h^{-1} \circ f \in \text{Hol}_d(S^2, S^2) \) and \( f = h \circ \tilde{f} \). In particular, the set \( \{ V_f : f \in \mathcal{A}c_d^f(S^2, S^6) \} \) is isomorphic to the space of subalgebras of \( \mathbb{O} \) that are isomorphic to \( \mathbb{H} \). This is the homogeneous space \( G_2/SO(4) \), which has real dimension 8. Although we do not know whether the space \( \mathcal{A}c_d^f(S^2, S^6) \) is a complex variety, we will use complex dimension instead of real in order to have a more compact statement.
Theorem 4.3. The dimension of $\text{Ac}_d^{(2)}(S^2, S^6)$ is $2d + 5$.

Proof. By the observations above, the map 
\[
\rho : \text{Ac}_d^{(2)}(S^2, S^6) \to G_2/\text{SO}(4) \subset \text{Gr}(3, \mathbb{R}^7)
\]
defined by 
\[
\rho(f) = 3\text{-dimensional subspace where } f(S^2) \text{ lies}
\]
gives a fiber bundle with fiber $\text{Hol}_d(S^2, S^2)$ (see also [14]). Therefore 
\[
dim_C(\text{Ac}_d^{(2)}(S^2, S^6)) = \dim_C(\text{Hol}_d(S^2, S^2)) + \dim_C(G_2/\text{SO}(4)) = 2d + 1 + 4 = 2d + 5
\]
\[
\checkmark
\]

It is worth noting the following curious fact: as opposed to the harmonic map case (see [14]), the space of nonlinearly full almost complex maps has greater dimension than the space of linearly full almost complex maps.

5. Existence and examples

In this section we construct examples of linealy full almost complex maps from $S^2$ to $S^6$ of any degree $d \geq 6$, with $d \neq 7$. This is done by giving explicit formulas for their directrix curves as in [1]. There cannot be linearly full, almost complex maps of degree 7 because if $d = 7$, formula (4.1) gives $r_0 = 0$, $r_1 = 1$, so the map would be one-point ramified, which is impossible by [5].

Let $\{E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3, \bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2, \bar{E}_3\}$ be the basis described in (2.20). For reference, we give the cross product table in this basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\times$</th>
<th>$E_0$</th>
<th>$E_1$</th>
<th>$E_2$</th>
<th>$E_3$</th>
<th>$\bar{E}_1$</th>
<th>$\bar{E}_2$</th>
<th>$\bar{E}_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_0$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$iE_1$</td>
<td>$-iE_2$</td>
<td>$-iE_3$</td>
<td>$-iE_1$</td>
<td>$iE_2$</td>
<td>$iE_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_1$</td>
<td>$-iE_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\sqrt{2}E_3$</td>
<td>$-\sqrt{2}E_2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_2$</td>
<td>$iE_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\sqrt{2}E_1$</td>
<td>$-\sqrt{2}E_3$</td>
<td>$-iE_0$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_3$</td>
<td>$iE_3$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-\sqrt{2}E_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\sqrt{2}E_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-iE_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{E}_1$</td>
<td>$i\bar{E}_1$</td>
<td>$-iE_0$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{2}E_3$</td>
<td>$-\sqrt{2}E_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{E}_2$</td>
<td>$-i\bar{E}_2$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{2}E_3$</td>
<td>$iE_0$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\sqrt{2}E_1$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{E}_3$</td>
<td>$-i\bar{E}_3$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{2}E_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$iE_0$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-\sqrt{2}E_1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In [1], Barbosa finds examples of totally isotropic curves of the form 
\[
\xi = a_0E_1 + a_{\ell - 2}z^{\ell - 2}E_2 + a_{\ell - 1}z^{\ell - 1}E_3 + a_\ell z^\ell E_0 + a_{\ell + 1}z^{\ell + 1}\bar{E}_3 + a_{\ell + 2}z^{\ell + 2}\bar{E}_2 + a_{2\ell}z^{2\ell}\bar{E}_1,
\]
where $z$ is a holomorphic coordinate in $S^2$. Note that all of these examples have higher singularities only at 0 and $\infty$, and at these points $r_0 = \ell - 3$, $r_1 = r_2 = 0$, so they cannot be almost complex because they do not satisfy (2.16). In fact, equation (4.1) says that the generic almost complex curve has $r_0 = 0$ at every point. This suggests that we try solutions of the form 
\[
\xi = a_0E_1 + a_1zE_2 + a_{\ell - 1}z^{\ell - 1}E_3 + a_\ell z^\ell E_0 + a_{\ell + 1}z^{\ell + 1}\bar{E}_3 + a_{\ell + 2}z^{\ell + 2}\bar{E}_2 + a_{2\ell}z^{2\ell}\bar{E}_1.
\]
This in fact works and gives solutions for even $d = 2\ell$. By Corollary 3.2, it suffices to solve the equation $\xi \times \xi' = 0$, which gives an underdetermined system of 7 equations. One can actually take $a_{\ell + 1} = a_{2\ell - 1} = a_{2\ell} = 1$ and solve for the other $a_i$ to obtain 
\[
a_0 = \frac{(\ell - 2)^2(\ell - 1)}{\ell^2(2\ell - 1)(\ell + 1)}, \quad a_1 = -\frac{\ell - 2}{\ell(2\ell - 1)}, \quad a_{\ell - 1} = \frac{(\ell - 2)(\ell - 1)}{\ell(\ell + 1)}, \quad a_\ell = \frac{i\sqrt{2}(\ell - 2)}{\ell}.
\]
Note that these examples have higher singularities only at 0 and \( \infty \), and \( r_0 = 0 \), \( r_1 = \ell - 3 \) at these points.

Finding examples for odd \( d = 2\ell + 1 \) is trickier. The idea is to keep the singularities at 0 and \( \infty \) and create a single one at another point. This is achieved by trying solutions of the form

\[
\xi(z) = (b_0 + c_0 z) E_1 + (b_1 + c_1 z) z E_2 + (b_{\ell-1} + c_{\ell-1} z) z^{\ell-1} E_3 + (b_\ell + c_\ell z) z^\ell E_0 \\
+ (b_{\ell+1} + c_{\ell+1} z) z^{\ell+1} E_3 + (b_{2\ell-1} + c_{2\ell-1} z) z^{2\ell-1} E_2 + (b_{2\ell} + c_{2\ell} z) z^{2\ell} E_1.
\]

Again, the equation \( \xi \times \xi' = 0 \) leads to an underdetermined system of equations in the \( b_i, c_i \). One can take \( b_0 = b_1 = c_1 = 1 \) and solve for the other variables to obtain

\[
c_0 = \frac{(\ell - 3)(\ell + 2)}{\ell(\ell - 1)}, \quad b_{\ell-1} = \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{\ell - 2}, \quad c_{\ell-1} = \frac{(\ell - 3)^2(\ell + 1)(\ell + 2)}{(\ell - 2)(\ell - 1)\ell},
\]

\[
b_\ell = -i\sqrt{2}(\ell + 1), \quad c_\ell = -\frac{i\sqrt{2}(\ell - 3)(\ell + 1)}{\ell}, \quad b_{\ell+1} = (\ell - 1),
\]

\[
c_{\ell+1} = \frac{\ell - 1)^2}{\ell + 2}, \quad b_{2\ell-1} = -\frac{\ell(\ell + 1)^2}{(\ell - 2)(2\ell - 1)}, \quad c_{2\ell-1} = -\frac{(\ell - 3)^2(\ell + 1)^2}{\ell(\ell - 2)(2\ell - 1)},
\]

\[
b_{2\ell} = \frac{(\ell - 1)(\ell + 1)}{2\ell - 1}, \quad c_{2\ell} = \frac{(\ell - 3)(\ell - 1)^2(\ell + 1)}{\ell(\ell + 2)(2\ell - 1)}.
\]

Note that in the case \( d = 7 \) (so \( \ell = 3 \)) the coefficient \( c_0 \) is 0, and the solution obtained has degree 6.

For \( d \) odd, the examples above have higher singularities at 0 and \( \infty \), with \( r_0 = 0 \), \( r_1 = \ell - 3 \), and at \( \ell/(3 - \ell) \), with \( r_0 = 0 \), \( r_1 = 1 \).

**Theorem 5.1.** For \( d \geq 6, d \neq 7 \), the maps \( [\xi] : S^2 \to S^6 \) defined above are directrix curves of linearly full almost complex spheres in \( S^6 \) of degree \( d \).

**Proof.** It is clear that all the curves are linearly full and have degree \( d \), so it only remains to check that they are solutions of the equation \( \xi \times \xi' = 0 \). The expression for \( \xi \times \xi' \) in the even dimensional case is as follows: If

\[
\xi = a_0 E_1 + a_1 z E_2 + a_{\ell-1} z^{\ell-1} E_3 + a_\ell z^\ell E_0 + z^{\ell+1} \bar{E}_3 + z^{2\ell-1} \bar{E}_2 + z^{2\ell} \bar{E}_1,
\]

then

\[
\xi \times \xi' = (2ia_0 - i(2\ell - 1)a_1 + ia_1 + i(\ell - 1)a_{\ell-1} - i(\ell + 1)a_\ell) z^{2\ell-1} E_0 \\
+ \left(\sqrt{2}(\ell - 1)a_1 a_{\ell-1} - \sqrt{2}a_1 a_{\ell-1} - i\alpha a_\ell \right) z^{\ell-1} E_1 \\
+ \left(-\sqrt{2}a_0 a_\ell + \sqrt{2}(\ell + 1) + i\alpha a_\ell \right) z^\ell E_2 \\
+ \left(\sqrt{2}(2\ell - 1)a_0 - i(\ell - 1)a_{\ell-1} a_\ell + i\alpha a_{\ell-1} a_\ell \right) z^{2\ell-2} E_3 \\
+ \left(-i\alpha a_\ell + \sqrt{2}(\ell + 1) - \sqrt{2}(2\ell - 1) \right) z^{3\ell-1} \bar{E}_1 \\
+ \left(-\sqrt{2}(\ell - 1)a_{\ell-1} + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha a_{\ell-1} - i\alpha a_\ell + i(2\ell - 1)a_\ell \right) z^{3\ell-2} \bar{E}_2 \\
+ \left(-2\sqrt{2}a_1 + \sqrt{2}a_1 - i\alpha a_\ell + i(\ell + 1)a_\ell \right) z^{2\ell} \bar{E}_3.
\]

It is straightforward to check that the solution does work.

We omit the much-lengthier odd-dimensional case.

\[\square\]
Corollary 5.2. The space $\text{Ac}^f_d(S^2, S^6)$ is empty if $d < 6$ or $d = 7$, and nonempty otherwise. Its pure dimension is $d + 8$.

Proof. For $d < 6$, the set $\text{Harm}^f_d(S^2, S^6)$, and therefore $\text{Ac}^f_d(S^2, S^6)$, is empty [1]. The case $d = 7$ was explained at the beginning of this section. The remaining cases are immediate consequences of Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.1.
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