# GEOMETRY OF FOUR-FOLDS WITH THREE NON-COMMUTING INVOLUTIONS

#### JORGE PINEIRO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we adapt some techniques developed for K3 surfaces, to study the geometry of a family of projective varieties in  $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}_{K}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}_{K}^{2}$  defined as the intersection of a form of degree (2, 2, 2) and a form of degree (1, 1, 1). Members of the family will be equipped with dominant rational self-maps and we will study the actions of those maps on divisors and compute the first dynamical degrees of the composition of any pair.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

As a generalization of the work of Silverman and others [10], [6] on families of K3 surfaces with infinite groups of automorphisms, we study dynamics on a family of varieties  $X^{A,B}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2_K \times \mathbb{P}^2_K \times \mathbb{P}^2_K$  defined as the intersection of a form of degree (2, 2, 2) and a form of degree (1, 1, 1). Individual members of the family  $X^{A,B}$  come equipped with (2 : 1)-projections  $p_1, p_2, p_3 : X^{A,B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  that generate involutions  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  on  $X^{A,B}$ . In this situation however the maps  $\sigma_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3 are not morphisms of the whole  $X^{A,B}$ , but only rational dominant maps. Still it is possible to induce maps  $\sigma_i^* : \operatorname{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ and  $\tilde{\sigma}_i^* : NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , on divisors modulo linear and numerical equivalence. The computations with divisors in the case of three involutions is going to be similar to the K3 surfaces of type (2, 2, 2) in  $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$  studied by several authors like Wang [12] and Baragar [2], [3] and [4].

The following degree associated to the dynamics was initially studied by Arnold in [1], and particularly for dominant rational maps by Silverman in [11].

**Definition 1.1.** Let X be an algebraic variety and  $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow X$  a dominant rational map. The first dynamical degree of  $\varphi$  is

$$\delta_{\varphi} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \rho(\widetilde{\varphi^{n*}})^{1/n},$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 37P55 ; Secondary: 14J35, 14J28, 32J15 .

where  $\rho(\widetilde{\varphi^{n*}})$  represents the spectral radius or maximal eigenvalue of the map  $\widetilde{\varphi^{n*}}: NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$ 

It is also possible to extend the notion of polarization, with respect to one rational map or, more general, in the sense of Kawaguchi [9], associated to several rational maps:

**Definition 1.2.** Let X be a projective variety and  $\varphi_i : X \dashrightarrow X$ for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$  dominant rational maps. We say that the system  $(X, \{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k\}, \mathcal{L}, d)$  is a polarized dynamical system of k maps if there exist an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \varphi_i^* \mathcal{L} \cong$  $\mathcal{L}^d$  for some d > k.

The action of the maps  $\sigma_1^*$ ,  $\sigma_2^*$  and  $\sigma_3^*$  on  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$  will provide a polarization for the system of three maps  $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$ . Also, under the condition that the Picard number is the least possible value p(X) = 3, the first dynamical degree of any of the maps  $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_i \circ \sigma_j$  will be computed. The computations will produce the same dynamical degree as the dynamical degree of the maps on K3 surfaces (Section 12 of [11]).

# 2. Four dimensional Varieties with three involutions

Let  $\mathbf{L}^A \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  be a family of varieties defined over a field K by a single equation linear on each variable,

$$\mathbf{L}^{A} = \{ P \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} : L(x, y, z) = \sum_{i, j, k=0}^{2} a_{i, j, k} x_{i} y_{j} z_{k} = 0 \},$$

where  $A = (a_{ijk})_{0 \le i,j,k \le 2}$ . A member of the family **L** comes equipped with projections

$$p_3 = p_{xy} : \mathbf{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2,$$
$$p_2 = p_{xz} : \mathbf{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2,$$
$$p_1 = p_{yz} : \mathbf{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2,$$

and the  $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbf{L}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$  from the embedding  $\mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ . Using the adjunction formula we can get its canonical line bundle

$$\omega_{\mathbf{L}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2}(-3, -3, -3) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2}(-2, -2, -2).$$

By choosing a section  $Q = Q^A$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{L}}(2,2,2)$  and consider the variety  $X = \operatorname{Var}(Q)$  we get a variety with trivial canonical divisor  $K_X \sim 0$ . Besides, by the weak lefschetz theorem, we have an injective map  $\mathbb{Z}^3 \cong \operatorname{Pic}(L) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(X)$  and we will get three distinct classes even in NS(X) and therefore a Picard number  $p(X) \geq 3$ .

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

By varying the coefficients A, B one obtains a family  $X^{A,B}$  defined in  $\mathbb{P}^2_K \times \mathbb{P}^2_K \times \mathbb{P}^2_K$  by equations

$$L(x, y, z) = \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{2} a_{i,j,k} x_i y_j z_k = 0,$$
$$Q(x, y, z) = \sum_{i,j,k,l,m,n=0}^{2} b_{i,j,k,l,m,n} x_i x_l y_j y_m z_k z_n = 0,$$

where  $A = (a_{ijk}), B = (b_{i,j,k,l,m,n})$  and all indices are moving in the set  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ . The projections  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  restricted to X represent generically (2:1) coverings of  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ . Indeed when we fix two of the variables we get the intersection on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of a quadric and a line, which is general, will give two points  $P_i, P'_i \in X$  for i = 1, 2, 3 and will determine involutions  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 : X \dashrightarrow X$ . The involutions  $\sigma_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3, will not be in general morphisms but just rational maps defined on certain open sets  $U_i \subset X$ . We are interesting in studying the dynamics of the maps  $\sigma_i$ , but first we should devote some time to get familiar with the geometry of  $X = X^{A,B}$ . We collect the coefficients of our variables using the following notation for i, j, k in the set  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ 

$$\begin{split} L_k^{x,y}(x,y) &= \sum_{i,j=0}^2 a_{i,j,k} x_i y_j, \quad Q_{k,n}^{x,y}(x,y) = \sum_{i,j,l,m=0}^2 b_{i,j,k,l,m,n} x_i x_l y_j y_m, \\ L_j^{x,z}(x,z) &= \sum_{i,k=0}^2 a_{i,j,k} x_i z_k, \quad Q_{i,l}^{y,z}(y,z) = \sum_{j,k,m,n=0}^2 b_{i,j,k,l,m,n} y_j y_m z_k z_n, \\ L_i^{y,z}(y,z) &= \sum_{j,k=0}^2 a_{i,j,k} y_j z_k, \quad Q_{j,m}^{x,z}(x,z) = \sum_{i,k,l,n=0}^2 b_{i,j,k,l,m,n} x_i x_l z_k z_n. \end{split}$$

Suppose, with the above notation in mind, that we want to study the action of  $\sigma_3$  computing the solutions  $(z_0, z_1, 1)$  of the system

$$0 = L_0^{x,y} z_0 + L_1^{x,y} z_1 + L_2^{x,y},$$
  

$$0 = Q_{0,0}^{x,y} z_0^2 + Q_{1,1}^{x,y} z_1^2 + Q_{2,2}^{x,y} + Q_{0,1}^{x,y} z_0 z_1 + Q_{0,2}^{x,y} z_0 + Q_{1,2}^{x,y} z_1,$$

assuming that  $L_1^{x,y} \neq 0$  and replacing  $z_1 = \frac{-L_2^{x,y} - L_0^{x,y} z_0}{L_1^{x,y}}$  in the second equation gives  $G_0^{x,y} + H_{0,2}^{x,y} z_0 + G_2^{x,y} z_0^2 = 0$  where,

$$\begin{split} G_0^{x,y} &= (L_1^{x,y})^2 Q_{2,2}^{x,y} - L_1^{x,y} L_2^{x,y} Q_{1,2}^{x,y} + (L_2^{x,y})^2 Q_{1,1}^{x,y}, \\ G_2^{x,y} &= (L_1^{x,y})^2 Q_{0,0}^{x,y} - L_1^{x,y} L_0^{x,y} Q_{0,1}^{x,y} + (L_0^{x,y})^2 Q_{1,1}^{x,y}, \\ H_{0,2}^{x,y} &= 2 L_0^{x,y} L_2^{x,y} Q_{1,1}^{x,y} - L_0^{x,y} L_1^{x,y} Q_{1,2}^{x,y} - L_2^{x,y} L_1^{x,y} Q_{0,1}^{x,y} + (L_1^{x,y})^2 Q_{0,2}^{x,y}, \end{split}$$

### JORGE PINEIRO

and the map  $\sigma_3$  that sends  $(z_0, z_1, 1) \mapsto (z'_0, z'_1, 1)$  will be defined unless all the three coefficients  $G_0^{x,y}, H_{0,2}^{x,y}, G_2^{x,y}$  vanish. So, we are forced, by a codimension checking, to work with rational maps  $\sigma_i : X \dashrightarrow X$  and our first task will be, to locate where are these maps well defined morphisms.

Motivated by the above discussion we define for any permutation (i, j, k) of (0, 1, 2) the (4, 4)-bi-homogeneous forms

$$\begin{split} G_k^{x,y} &= (L_i^{x,y})^2 Q_{j,j}^{x,y} - L_i^{x,y} L_j^{x,y} Q_{i,j}^{x,y} + (L_j^{x,y})^2 Q_{i,i}^{x,y}, \\ G_k^{y,z} &= (L_i^{y,z})^2 Q_{j,j}^{y,z} - L_i^{y,z} L_j^{y,z} Q_{i,j}^{y,z} + (L_j^{y,z})^2 Q_{i,i}^{y,z}, \\ G_k^{x,z} &= (L_i^{x,z})^2 Q_{j,j}^{x,z} - L_i^{x,z} L_j^{x,z} Q_{i,j}^{x,z} + (L_j^{x,z})^2 Q_{i,i}^{x,z}, \\ H_{i,j}^{x,y} &= 2L_i^{x,y} L_j^{x,y} Q_{kk}^{x,y} - L_i^{x,y} L_k^{x,y} Q_{jk}^{x,y} - L_j^{x,y} L_k^{x,y} Q_{ik}^{x,y} + (L_k^{x,y})^2 Q_{ij}^{x,y}, \\ H_{i,j}^{x,z} &= 2L_i^{x,z} L_j^{x,z} Q_{kk}^{x,z} - L_i^{x,z} L_k^{x,z} Q_{jk}^{x,z} - L_j^{x,z} L_k^{x,z} Q_{ik}^{x,z} + (L_k^{x,z})^2 Q_{ij}^{x,z}, \\ H_{i,j}^{y,z} &= 2L_i^{y,z} L_j^{y,z} Q_{kk}^{y,z} - L_i^{y,z} L_k^{y,z} Q_{jk}^{y,z} - L_j^{y,z} L_k^{y,z} Q_{ik}^{y,z} + (L_k^{y,z})^2 Q_{ij}^{y,z}, \end{split}$$

For any  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{P}^2_K$ , the fibres of the projections  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$  will be defined as  $X^z_{a,b} = p_3^{-1}(a,b) = L^z_{a,b} \cap Q^z_{a,b}$ ,  $X^x_{b,c} = p_1^{-1}(b,c) = L^x_{b,c} \cap Q^x_{b,c}$  and  $X^y_{a,c} = p_2^{-1}(a,c) = L^y_{a,c} \cap Q^y_{a,c}$ ; where

$$\begin{split} L^{z}_{a,b} &= \{(a,b,z) \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} : L(a,b,z) = 0\},\\ Q^{z}_{a,b} &= \{(a,b,z) \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} : Q(a,b,z) = 0\\ L^{x}_{b,c} &= \{(x,b,c) \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} : L(x,b,c) = 0\},\\ Q^{x}_{b,c} &= \{(x,b,c) \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} : Q(x,b,c) = 0\\ L^{y}_{a,c} &= \{(a,y,c) \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} : L(a,y,c) = 0\},\\ Q^{y}_{a,c} &= \{(a,y,c) \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} : Q(a,y,c) = 0. \end{split}$$

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a fibre  $X_{a,b}^z, X_{b,c}^x$  or  $X_{a,c}^y$  is degenerate if it has positive dimension.

If the fibres  $X_{a,b}^z, X_{b,c}^x$  or  $X_{a,c}^y$  are non-degenerate at (a, b, c), they will consist of two points and the maps  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  and  $\sigma_3$  will be well defined morphisms at  $(a, b, c) \in X$ . Following the outline of [6] we have the following result characterizing the degenerate fibres.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $[a, b, c] \in X$ .

(1) 
$$X_{a,b}^{z}$$
 is degenerate if and only if  
 $G_{0}^{x,y}(a,b) = G_{1}^{x,y}(a,b) = G_{2}^{x,y}(a,b) = H_{0,1}^{x,y}(a,b) = H_{0,2}^{x,y}(a,b) = H_{1,2}^{x,y}(a,b) = 0$   
(2)  $X_{a,c}^{y}$  is degenerate if and only if  
 $G_{2}^{x,z}(a,c) = G_{2}^{x,z}(a,c) = G_{2}^{x,z}(a,c) = H_{2}^{x,z}(a,c) = H_{2}^{x,z}(a,c) = 0$ 

$$G_0^{x,z}(a,c) = G_1^{x,z}(a,c) = G_2^{x,z}(a,c) = H_{0,1}^{x,z}(a,c) = H_{0,2}^{x,z}(a,c) = H_{1,2}^{x,z}(a,c) = 0$$

(3)  $X_{b,c}^x$  is degenerate if and only if

$$G_0^{y,z}(b,c) = G_1^{y,z}(b,c) = G_2^{y,z}(b,c) = H_{0,1}^{y,z}(b,c) = H_{0,2}^{y,z}(b,c) = H_{1,2}^{y,z}(b,c) = 0.$$

*Proof.* The proof is identical to the proof of proposition 1.4 in [6]. We do the proof of (1). When we substitute  $z_0 = (L - L_1^{x,y} z_1 - L_2^{x,y} z_2)/L_0^{x,y}$ ,  $z_1 = (L - L_0^{x,y} z_0 - L_2^{x,y} z_2)/L_1^{x,y}$  and  $z_2 = (L - L_1^{x,y} z_1 - L_0^{x,y} z_0)/L_2^{x,y}$  into Q respectively we get formulas:

$$\begin{split} (L_0^{x,y})^2 Q(x,y,z) &\equiv G_2^{x,y} z_1^2 + H_{1,2}^{x,y} z_1 z_2 + G_1^{x,y} z_2^2 \quad (modL(x,y,z)), \\ (L_1^{x,y})^2 Q(x,y,z) &\equiv G_2^{x,y} z_0^2 + H_{0,2}^{x,y} z_0 z_2 + G_0^{x,y} z_2^2 \quad (modL(x,y,z)), \\ (L_2^{x,y})^2 Q(x,y,z) &\equiv G_1^{x,y} z_0^2 + H_{0,1}^{x,y} z_0 z_1 + G_0^{x,y} z_1^2 \quad (modL(x,y,z)). \end{split}$$

Now, the proof is divided into two parts, depending on whether or not for the point  $[a, b, c] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  we have  $L(a, b, z) \equiv 0$ .

If  $L(a, b, z) \equiv 0$ , then  $X_{a,b}^z = Q_{a,b}^z$  and the fibre is degenerate. In this case  $L_0^{a,b} = L_1^{a,b} = L_2^{a,b} = 0$  will force  $H_{i,j}^{x,y}(a,b) = G_k^{x,y}(a,b) = 0$  and the proof is finished.

If  $L(a, b, z) \neq 0$ , one of the  $L_i^{x,y}(a, b) \neq 0$  and the fact that  $G_0^{x,y}(a, b) = G_1^{x,y}(a, b) = G_2^{x,y}(a, b) = H_{0,1}^{x,y}(a, b) = H_{0,2}^{x,y}(a, b) = H_{1,2}^{x,y}(a, b) = 0$  forces  $Q(a, b, z) \equiv 0 \pmod{(a, b, z)}$  and hence  $X_{a,b}^z$  is degenerate containing the entire line  $L_{a,b}^z$ .

If  $L(a, b, z) \neq 0$  and the fibre  $X_{a,b}^z$  is degenerate we must have  $L_{a,b}^z \subset Q_{a,b}^z$ . We are going to proof that  $G_0^{x,y}(a, b) = G_1^{x,y}(a, b) = G_2^{x,y}(a, b) = H_{0,2}^{x,y}(a, b) = H_{1,2}^{x,y}(a, b) = 0$ . First let's do  $G_0^{x,y}(a, b) = 0$ . If  $L_1^{x,y}(a, b) = L_2^{x,y}(a, b) = 0$ , this follows from the definition, otherwise  $(0, L_2^{x,y}(a, b), -L_1^{x,y}(a, b)) \in L_{a,b}^z$  and therefore must belong to  $Q_{a,b}^z$ , when we evaluate we get

$$0 = Q_{1,1}^{x,y}(a,b)(L_2^{x,y}(a,b))^2 - Q_{1,2}^{x,y}L_2^{x,y}(a,b)L_1^{x,y}(a,b) + Q_{2,2}^{x,y}(L_1^{x,y}(a,b))^2$$

So  $G_0^{x,y}(a,b) = 0$ . In a similar way we do  $G_1^{x,y}(a,b) = G_2^{x,y}(a,b) = 0$ . The substitution of the results  $G_i^{x,y}(a,b) = 0$  in the equations and evaluations at x = a, y = b will give

$$H_{1,2}^{x,y}(a,b)z_1z_2 = H_{0,2}^{x,y}(a,b)z_0z_2 = H_{1,0}^{x,y}(a,b)z_1z_0 = 0$$

for all points  $(z_0, z_1, z_2) \in L^z(a, b)$ . If  $L^z(a, b)$  is the line  $z_1 = 0$ , then  $L_0^{x,y}(a, b) = L_2^{x,y}(a, b) = 0$  and  $H_{1,2}^{x,y}(a, b) = 0$  using the definition. If  $L^z(a, b)$  is the line  $z_2 = 0$ , then  $L_1^{x,y}(a, b) = L_2^{x,y}(a, b) = 0$  and  $H_{1,2}^{x,y}(a, b) = 0$  will be again equal to zero. Otherwise if  $L_{a,b}^z$  is none of the lines  $z_1 = 0$  or  $z_2 = 0$ , then  $H_{1,2}^{x,y}(a, b) = 0$  from the previous line. The other cases for  $H_{i,j}^{x,y}(a, b) = 0$  are solved similarly.

### JORGE PINEIRO

We can now define open sets  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  in such a way that the dominant rational maps  $\sigma_i : X \dashrightarrow X$  are bijective morphisms

$$\sigma_{i}: U_{i} \longrightarrow U_{i}.$$

$$U_{1} = X - \{(a, b, c) \in X: G_{0}^{y,z}(b, c) = G_{1}^{y,z}(b, c) = G_{2}^{y,z}(b, c) = 0$$

$$H_{0,1}^{y,z}(b, c) = H_{0,2}^{y,z}(b, c) = H_{1,2}^{y,z}(b, c) = 0\},$$

$$U_{2} = X - \{(a, b, c) \in X: G_{0}^{x,z}(a, c) = G_{1}^{x,z}(a, c) = G_{2}^{x,z}(a, c) = 0$$

$$H_{0,1}^{x,z}(a, c) = H_{0,2}^{x,z}(a, c) = H_{1,2}^{x,z}(a, c) = 0\},$$

$$U_{3} = X - \{(a, b, c) \in X: G_{0}^{x,y}(a, b) = G_{1}^{x,y}(a, b) = G_{2}^{x,y}(a, b) = 0$$

$$H_{0,1}^{x,y}(a, b) = H_{0,2}^{x,y}(a, b) = H_{1,2}^{x,y}(a, b) = 0\}.$$

The maps  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  induce maps on divisors: Let's consider Y a closed subvariety of codimension one and  $\sigma_i^* Y = \overline{\sigma_i^{-1} Y}$ , the Zariski closure of the pre-image. In this way we induce maps on Weil divisors, that respect linear and numerical equivalence and descend to maps

$$\sigma_i^* : \operatorname{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(X) \qquad \tilde{\sigma}_i^* : NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

To study the action of the  $\sigma_i^*$  on  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$  we denote by H, H' hyperplane sections representing the two fundamental classes in  $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ ,

$$H = \{ ((a_0 : a_1 : a_2), (b_0 : b_1 : b_2)) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 : a_0 = 0 \}, H' = \{ ((a_0 : a_1 : a_2), (b_0 : b_1 : b_2)) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 : b_0 = 0 \}.$$

and the divisors  $D_x, D_y, D_z$  on X defined by:

 $D_x = \{P \in X : x_0 = 0\}, \quad D_y = \{P \in X : y_0 = 0\}, \quad D_z = \{P \in X : z_0 = 0\}.$ The pullbacks of H, H' by the different projections give back the  $D_x, D_y, D_z$ ,

$$p_{xy}^{*}H = p_{3}^{*}H = D_{x}, \quad p_{xy}^{*}H' = p_{3}^{*}H' = D_{y},$$

$$p_{xz}^{*}H = p_{2}^{*}H = D_{x}, \quad p_{xz}^{*}H' = p_{2}^{*}H' = D_{z},$$

$$p_{yz}^{*}H = p_{1}^{*}H = D_{y}, \quad p_{yz}^{*}H' = p_{1}^{*}H' = D_{z}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** We have the following equivalences of divisors in div(X):

- (a)  $p_{1*}p_2^*H \sim 4H + 4H';$  (b)  $p_{2*}p_1^*H \sim 4H + 4H';$ (c)  $p_{3*}p_1^*H' \sim 4H + 4H'.$
- of The prove of all parts will be an

*Proof.* The prove of all parts will be analogous and straightforward from the definition of H, H' and the  $p_i$ 's. Let's see for example the proof of (a). The pull-back  $p_2^*H = \{P \in X : x_0 = 0\}$  is given by the two equations

$$L_1^{y,z}x_1 + L_2^{y,z}x_2 = 0,$$
  $Q_{1,1}^{y,z}x_1^2 + Q_{1,2}^{y,z}x_1x_2 + Q_{2,2}^{y,z}x_2^2 = 0.$ 

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

When we project onto (y, z) we eliminate  $x_1, x_2$  and get the equation  $G_0^{y,z} = (L_1^{y,z})^2 Q_{2,2}^{y,z} - L_1^{y,z} L_2^{y,z} Q_{1,2}^{y,z} + (L_2^{y,z})^2 Q_{1,1}^{y,z} = 0.$ 

where  $G_0^{y,z}$  is a (4,4)-bihomogeneous form in y and z, and therefore  $p_{1*}p_2^*H \sim 4H + 4H'$ .

Applying lemma 2.3 we obtain the pushforwards:

 $p_{1*}(D_x) \sim 4H + 4H', \quad p_{2*}(D_y) \sim 4H + 4H', \quad p_{3*}(D_z) \sim 4H + 4H',$ and the action of the  $\sigma_i^*$ 's on the divisors  $D_x, D_y, D_z$ :

$$\sigma_1^*(D_x) = p_1^* p_{1*} D_x - D_x \sim 4D_y + 4D_z - D_x,$$
  

$$\sigma_1^*(D_y) = \sigma_1^* p_1^* H = (p_1 \circ \sigma_1)^* H = D_y,$$
  

$$\sigma_1^*(D_z) = \sigma_1^* p_1^* H' = (p_1 \circ \sigma_1)^* H' = D_z,$$
  

$$\sigma_2^*(D_x) = \sigma_2 p_2^* H = (p_2 \circ \sigma_2)^* H' = D_x,$$
  

$$\sigma_2^*(D_y) = p_2^* p_{2*} D_y - D_y \sim 4D_x + 4D_z - D_y,$$
  

$$\sigma_2^*(D_z) = \sigma_2^* p_2^* H' = (p_2 \circ \sigma_2)^* H' = D_z,$$
  

$$\sigma_3^*(D_x) = \sigma_3^* p_3^* H = (p_3 \circ \sigma_3)^* H = D_x,$$
  

$$\sigma_3^*(D_y) = \sigma_3^* p_3^* H' = (p_3 \circ \sigma_3)^* H' = D_y,$$
  

$$\sigma_3^*(D_z) = p_3^* p_3 D_z - D_z \sim 4D_x + 4D_y - D_z.$$

Using the actions of the  $\sigma_i^*$  we can get a polarizations by a very ample line bundle for the system of involutions  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Suppose that  $r_x, r_y, r_z$  are positive real numbers and we have the polarization by three maps

$$\sum_{i} \sigma_i^* (r_x D_x + r_y D_y + r_z D_z) \sim d(r_x D_x + r_y D_y + r_z D_z),$$

in  $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . Then d = 9 and  $r_x = r_y = r_z = 1$ .

*Proof.* When we add up the actions of  $\sigma_i^*$  on  $r_x D_x + r_y D_y + r_z D_z$ , and equal that to  $d(r_x D_x + r_y D_y + r_z D_z)$  for some d > 3, we get the system of linear equations:

$$r_x + 4r_y + 4r_z = dr_x,$$
  

$$4r_x + r_y + 4r_z = dr_y,$$
  

$$4r_x + 4r_y + r_z = dr_z.$$

The determinant is  $(9-d)(3+d)^3$  and the value of d = 9 gives  $r_x = r_y = r_z = 1$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** The maps  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_i \circ \sigma_j$ , for  $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , satisfy the properties:

(1)  $(\sigma_i \circ \sigma_j)^* = \sigma_i^* \circ \sigma_i^*,$ 

### JORGE PINEIRO

(2)  $(\sigma_{ij}^n)^* = (\sigma_{ij}^*)^n$ .

Proof. In general, given two rational maps  $\tau: X \to X$  and  $\tau': X \to X$  defining involutions  $\tau: U_{\tau} \longrightarrow U_{\tau}$  and  $\tau': U_{\tau'} \longrightarrow U_{\tau'}$  on open sets  $U_{\tau}$  and  $U_{\tau'}$  respectively, we will have  $(\tau \circ \tau')^* = \tau'^* \circ \tau^*$ . Let Y be an irreducible subvariety. If  $P \in \overline{\tau(Y \cap U_{\tau})} \cap U_{\tau'}$ , there exist a sequence  $P_n \to P$ , with  $P_n \in \tau(Y \cap U_{\tau}) \cap U_{\tau'}$ . Therefore  $\tau'(P_n) \to \tau'(P)$  and  $\overline{\tau'(P)} \in \overline{\tau'(\tau(Y \cap U_{\tau}))} \cap U_{\tau'})$ . In other words  $\overline{\tau'(\overline{\tau(Y \cap U_{\tau})} \cap U_{\tau'})} \subset \overline{\tau'(\tau(Y \cap U_{\tau}))} \cap U_{\tau'})$ , so this two sets must be equal and  $(\tau \circ \tau')^* = \tau'^* \circ \tau^*$ . For the first part of the theorem we take  $\sigma_i = \tau$  and  $\sigma_j = \tau'$ . For the second part we proceed by induction and use the result to proof the induction step. If we suppose that  $(\sigma_{ij}^n)^* = (\sigma_{ij}^*)^n$  is true, then  $(\sigma_{ij}^*)^{n+1} = \sigma_{ij}^*((\sigma_{ij}^*)^n) = \sigma_{ij}^*((\sigma_{ij}^n)^*)$  By our result above with  $\tau = \sigma_{ij}$  and  $\tau' = \sigma_{ij}^n$ , the last equals to  $(\sigma_{ij}^{n+1})^*$ .

2.1. Computation of dynamical degree. In this subsection we study the action induced by the maps  $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_i \circ \sigma_j$  on the subspace V = $\operatorname{Span}(D_x, D_y, D_z)$  of  $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . As an application we will be able to get the dynamical degree of those maps for members of the family with Picard number p(X) = 3.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\sigma_{ij}$  be the rational dominant map  $\sigma_i \circ \sigma_j : X \dashrightarrow X$ . Let V be the subspace of  $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  spanned by  $D_x, D_y, D_z$  and consider the action of  $\sigma_{ij}^{*n} : V \longrightarrow V$ . The eigenvalues of  $\sigma_{ij}^{*n} | V$  belong to the set  $\{1, \beta^n, \beta'^n\}$ , where  $\beta = 7 + 4\sqrt{3}$  and  $\beta' = \frac{1}{\beta}$ .

*Proof.* The action of the maps  $\sigma_{12}^*$ ,  $\sigma_{31}^*$ ,  $\sigma_{31}^*$ ,  $\sigma_{32}^*$ ,  $\sigma_{13}^*$  and  $\sigma_{23}^*$  with respect to that base  $\{D_x, D_y, D_z\}$  is given respectively by the matrices

$$\sigma_{12}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -4 & 0 \\ 4 & 15 & 0 \\ 4 & 20 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_{13}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} 15 & 0 & 4 \\ 20 & 1 & 4 \\ -4 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\sigma_{12}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} 15 & 4 & 0 \\ -4 & -1 & 0 \\ 20 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_{23}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 20 & 4 \\ 0 & 15 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\sigma_{31}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & -4 \\ 4 & 1 & 20 \\ 4 & 0 & 15 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_{32}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 20 \\ 0 & -1 & -4 \\ 0 & 4 & 15 \end{pmatrix}$$

With the help of SAGE we find that the six matrices are sharing the same characteristic polynomial  $p = -(\lambda - 1)(\lambda^2 - 14\lambda + 1)$ . The roots of  $p(\lambda)$  are  $\{1, \beta, \beta'\}$  with  $\beta = 7 + 4\sqrt{3}$  and  $\beta' = 1/\beta$ , therefore all the six matrices are diagonalizable and the eigenvalues of the the powers are from the set  $\{1, \beta^n, \beta'^n\}$ .

**Corollary 2.7.** Suppose that the Picard number p(X) = 3, then the first dynamical degree  $\delta_{\sigma_{ij}}$  of  $\sigma_{ij}$  is  $\delta_{\sigma_{ij}} = \beta$ .

*Proof.* The divisors  $D_x, D_y, D_z$  represent three distinct classes in  $NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . If the Picard number p(X) = 3, then we have  $NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . The first dynamical degree of any of the maps  $\sigma_{ij}$  is:

$$\delta_{\sigma_{ij}} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \rho((\sigma_{ij}^n)^*)^{1/n} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \rho((\sigma_{ij}^*)^n)^{1/n} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} (\beta^n)^{1/n} = \beta.$$

### References

- V. I. Arnold, Dynamics of complexity of intersections. Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.), 21(1) (1990), 1-10.
- [2] A. Baragar, Canonical vector heights on K3 surfaces with Picard number three: an argument for nonexistence, Math. Comp. **73** (2004), 2019-2025.
- [3] <u>Rational points on K3 surfaces in  $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ , Math. Ann. **305** (1996), 541-558.</u>
- [4] A. Baragar and van Luijk, K3 surfaces with Picard number three and canonical heights, Math. Comp. 76 (2007), 1493-1498.
- [5] G. S. Call and J. Silverman, *Canonical heights on varieties with morphisms*, Compositio Math. 89 (1993), 163–205.
- [6] <u>Computing the canonical height on K3 surfaces.</u> Math. Comp., 65 (1996), 259-290.
- [7] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, Springer-Verlag, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 52, New York, (1977).
- [8] M. Hindry and J. Silverman, *Diophantine Geometry: an introduction*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 201 (2000).
- [9] S. Kawaguchi, Canonical heights, invariant currents, and dynamical eigensystems of morphisms for line bundles, J. Reine Angew. Math. 597 (2006), 135-173
- [10] J. Silverman, Rational points on K3 surfaces: a new canonical height, Invent. Math. 105 (1991), 347-373.
- [11] \_\_\_\_\_ Dynamical degrees, arithmetic degrees, and canonical heights for dominant rational self-maps of projective space, to appear in Ergodic Th. and Dyn. Sys.
- [12] L. Wang, Rational points and canonical heights on K3-surfaces in  $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ , Contemp. Math. **186**, AMS, (1994) 273-289.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE. BRONX COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF CUNY. 2155 UNIVERSITY AVE. BRONX, NY 10453

*E-mail address*: jorge.pineiro@bcc.cuny.edu